

# On a Result of Niino and Ozawa<sup>\*</sup>

Qiu Huiling<sup>\*\*</sup>

(Department of Mathematics, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210097, China)

(Department of Mathematics, Jiangsu Education College, Nanjing 210013, China)

**Abstract:** In this paper, we study the deficient relation of some transcendental entire functions. If  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ) be transcendental entire functions, and let  $a_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ) be nonzero finite complex numbers. If  $\sum_{j=1}^p a_j f_j(z) \equiv 1$ , then  $\sum_{j=1}^p \delta_{p-1}(0, f_j) \leq p-1$ , where  $\delta_{p-1}(0, f_j) = 1 - \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{p-1}(r, 1/f_j)}{T(r, f_j)}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ). The result improves a result of Niino and Ozawa. Meanwhile we give some applications of our result.

**Key words:** entire function, meromorphic function, deficiency

Let  $f(z)$  be a nonconstant meromorphic function in the whole complex plane. We use the following standard notations of value distribution theory (see Refs. [1] and [2]).

$T(r, f)$ ,  $m(r, f)$ ,  $N(r, f)$ ,  $\bar{N}(r, f)$ ,  $\dots$

We denote by  $S(r, f)$  any function satisfying

$S(r, f) = o\{T(r, f)\}$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , possibly outside of a set with finite measure.

Let  $a$  be a finite complex number,  $k$  a positive integer. We denote by  $N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$  the counting function for zeros of  $f(z) - a$  with multiplicity at most  $k$ , and by  $\bar{N}_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$  the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. Let  $N_{(k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$  be the counting function for zeros of  $f(z) - a$  with multiplicity at least  $k$  and  $\bar{N}_{(k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$  the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. Set  $N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) + \dots + \bar{N}_{(k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ . We define

$$\delta_k(a, f) = 1 - \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)}$$

In Ref. [3], Niino and Ozawa proved the following result.

**Theorem A** Let  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ) be transcendental entire functions, and let  $a_j$  ( $j = 1, 2,$

$\dots, p$ ) be nonzero finite complex numbers. If  $\sum_{j=1}^p a_j f_j(z) \equiv 1$ , then

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \delta(0, f_j) \leq p-1$$

where  $\delta(0, f_j) = 1 - \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N\left(r, \frac{1}{f_j}\right)}{T(r, f_j)}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ .

In this paper, we improve theorem A as follows.

**Theorem 1** Let  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ) be transcendental entire functions, and let  $a_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ) be nonzero finite complex numbers. If  $\sum_{j=1}^p a_j f_j(z) \equiv 1$ , then

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \delta_{p-1}(0, f_j) \leq p-1$$

where  $\delta_{p-1}(0, f_j) = 1 - \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{p-1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f_j}\right)}{T(r, f_j)}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ .

**Remark 1** For any positive integer  $k$ , we have  $\delta(0, f_j) \leq \delta_{p-1}(0, f_j)$ .

## 1 Some Lemmas

For the proof of theorem 1 we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1**<sup>[4]</sup> Let  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ) be linearly independent meromorphic functions,  $p$  a positive integer. If

$$\sum_{j=1}^p f_j(z) \equiv 1$$

then for  $1 \leq j \leq p$

$$T(r, f_j) \leq \sum_{i=1}^p N\left(r, \frac{1}{f_i}\right) + N(r, f_j) + N(r, W) - \sum_{i=1}^p N(r, f_i) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{W}\right) + S(r)$$

where  $W(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p)$  is the Wronskian determinant of  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ).

$$S(r) = o(T(r)) \quad r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E$$

here

$$T(r) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq p} \{T(r, f_j)\}$$

and  $E$  is a set of finite measure.

By lemma 1 we can easily obtain lemma 2.

**Lemma 2** Let  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ) be linearly independent transcendental entire functions. If

$$f_1(z) + f_2(z) + \dots + f_p(z) \equiv 1$$

then for  $1 \leq j \leq p$

$$T(r, f_j) \leq \sum_{i=1}^p N_{p-1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f_i}\right) + S(r)$$

Here  $S(r)$  is the same as in lemma 1.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

**Case 1**  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p$  are linearly independent functions. Then by lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f_j) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^p N_{p-1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f_i}\right) + S(r) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^p [1 - \delta_{p-1}(0, f_i)] T(r, f_i) + S(r) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$T(r) \leq \sum_{i=1}^p [1 - \delta_{p-1}(0, f_i)] T(r) + S(r)$$

That is

$$\left[ \sum_{i=1}^p \delta_{p-1}(0, f_i) - (p-1) \right] T(r) \leq S(r)$$

Hence we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \delta_{p-1}(0, f_i) \leq p-1 \quad (1)$$

**Case 2**  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p$  are linearly dependent functions. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_q$  are linearly independent functions and that  $f_{q+1}, f_{q+2}, \dots, f_p$  can be linearly expressed as  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_q$ . Thus there exist constants  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_q$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^q c_i f_i \equiv 1$$

If  $c_i \neq 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$ ), then by the same argument as do in case 1 we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \delta_{q-1}(0, f_i) \leq q-1$$

Obviously, we have

$$\delta_{q-1}(0, f_i) \geq \delta_{p-1}(0, f_i) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, q$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^p \delta_{p-1}(0, f_i) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^p \delta_{p-1}(0, f_i) + \sum_{i=q+1}^q \delta_{p-1}(0, f_i) \\ &\leq q-1 + p-q = p-1 \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

If there exists  $c_i = 0$ , without loss of generality we assume that  $c_i \neq 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ) and  $c_{r+1} = 0, \dots, c_q = 0$ , then we can similarly prove

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \delta_{p-1}(0, f_i) \leq p-1$$

The proof of the theorem is complete.

## 3 An Application of Theorem 1

By theorem 1, we obtain the following results.

**Theorem 2** Let  $k, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$  be positive integers satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i} < \frac{1}{k-1} \quad (3)$$

Then there don't exist transcendental entire functions  $f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots, f_k(z)$  such that

$$f_1^{n_1}(z) + f_2^{n_2}(z) + \dots + f_k^{n_k}(z) \equiv 1 \quad (4)$$

**Proof** Suppose that there exist transcendental entire functions  $f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots, f_k(z)$  satisfying

$$f_1^{n_1}(z) + f_2^{n_2}(z) + \dots + f_k^{n_k}(z) \equiv 1$$

Then by theorem 1 we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \delta_{k-1}(0, f_i^{n_i}) \leq k-1 \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{k-1}(0, f_i^{n_i}) &= 1 - \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{k-1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f_i^{n_i}}\right)}{T(r, f_i^{n_i})} \\ &\geq 1 - \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(k-1)N\left(r, \frac{1}{f_i}\right)}{n_i T(r, f_i)} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{k-1}{n_i} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \delta_{k-1}(0, f_i^{n_i}) \geq k - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{k-1}{n_i} \quad (6)$$

Hence by (5) and (6) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{k-1}{n_i} \geq 1$$

which contradicts (3). The proof of theorem 2 is complete.

From theorem 2, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 1** Let  $n \geq 7$  be a positive integer. Then there don't exist three transcendental entire functions  $f(z), g(z), h(z)$  such that

$$f^n(z) + g^n(z) + h^n(z) \equiv 1$$

**Corollary 2** Let  $n \geq 3$  be a positive integer.

Then there don't exist two transcendental entire functions  $f(z)$ ,  $g(z)$ , such that

$$f^n(z) + g^n(z) \equiv 1$$

References

1 W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964

2 L. Yang, *Value distribution theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993

3 K. Niino, and M. Ozawa, Deficiencies of an entire algebroid

function, *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.*, vol. 22, pp. 98 – 113, 1970

4 H. X. Yi, and C. C. Yang, *Unicity theory of meromorphic functions* (In Chinese), Science Press, Beijing, 1995

5 W. K. Hayman, Waring's problem für analytische Funktionen, *Bayer. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Natur. Kl. Sitzungsber*, pp. 1 – 13, 1985

6 G. G. Gundersen, Meromorphic solutions of  $f^6 + g^6 + h^6 \equiv 1$ , *Analysis*, vol. 18, pp. 285 – 290, 1998

7 M. L. Fang, and X. H. Hua, Entire functions that share one small function, *J. of Nanjing Univ. Mathematical Biquarterly*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 167 – 172, 2000

关于 Niino 和 Ozawa 的一个结果

仇惠玲

(南京师范大学数学计算机学院, 南京 210097)  
(江苏教育学院数学系, 南京 210013)

**摘 要** 讨论了一些超越整函数亏量间的关系. 如果  $f_1(z), f_2(z), \cdots, f_p(z)$  都是超越整函数,  $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_p$  都是非零有限复数, 并且  $\sum_{j=1}^p a_j f_j \equiv 1$ , 则  $\sum_{j=1}^p \delta(0, f_j) \leq p - 1$ . 这里  $\delta(0, f_j) = 1 - \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{N(r, \frac{1}{f_j})}{T(r, f_j)}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \cdots, p$ ). 这个结果改进了 Niino 和 Ozawa 的一个结果. 同时本文又给出了这个结果的一些应用.

**关键词** 整函数, 亚纯函数, 亏量

**中图分类号** O174.5