

On the growth of transcendental entire solutions of algebraic differential equations

Zhu Lingmei¹ Yang Degui² Wang Xiaoling^{1,3}

(¹ Department of Applied Mathematics, Nanjing University of Economics, Nanjing 210003, China)

(² College of Sciences, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, China)

(³ Department of Mathematics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong, China)

Abstract: In this paper, we investigate the growth of transcendental entire solutions of the following algebraic differential equation $a(z)f'^2 + (b_2(z)f^2 + b_1(z)f + b_0(z))f' = d_3(z)f^3 + d_2(z)f^2 + d_1(z)f + d_0(z)$, where $a(z)$, $b_i(z)$ ($0 \leq i \leq 2$) and $d_j(z)$ ($0 \leq j \leq 3$) are all polynomials, and this equation relates closely to the following well-known algebraic differential equation $C(z, w)w'^2 + B(z, w)w' + A(z, w) = 0$, where $C(z, w) \not\equiv 0$, $B(z, w)$ and $A(z, w)$ are three polynomials in z and w . We give relationships between the growth of entire solutions and the degrees of the above three polynomials in detail.

Key words: algebraic differential equation; degree; entire solutions

1 Introduction and Main Results

Firstly, we introduce some terminologies. Let f be a transcendental entire function and write

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

Then, use the following standard notations for maximum modulus $M(r, f)$, maximum term $\zeta(r, f)$, the central index $\nu(r, f)$, order $\rho(f)$ and lower order $\mu(f)$ of f , respectively.

$$M(r, f) = \max(|f(z)| : |z| = r)$$

$$\zeta(r, f) = \max_{|z|=r} |a_n z^n|$$

$$\nu(r, f) = \sup\{n : |a_n| r^n = \zeta(r, f)\}$$

$$\rho = \rho(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r}$$

$$\mu = \mu(f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r}$$

In this note, we investigate the growth of the entire solution of the following nonlinear algebraic differential equation:

$$a(z)f'^2 + (b_2(z)f^2 + b_1(z)f + b_0(z))f' = d_3(z)f^3 + d_2(z)f^2 + d_1(z)f + d_0(z) \quad (1)$$

where $a(z)$, $b_i(z)$ ($0 \leq i \leq 2$) and $d_j(z)$ ($0 \leq j \leq 3$) are all polynomials. Why do we only choose to consider this type of algebraic differential equations? The reason is Eq.(1) relates closely to the following algebraic differential equation:

$$C(z, w)w'^2 + B(z, w)w' + A(z, w) = 0 \quad (2)$$

where $C(z, w) \not\equiv 0$, $B(z, w)$ and $A(z, w)$ are three polynomials in z and w ^[1]. Ishizaki and Steinmetz^[2,3] studied this type of algebraic differential equation in detail and got many interesting results. We refer readers to Refs.[4–6] for the introduction of complex differential equations theory.

In general, if we let $p(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ be a polynomial in all of its arguments u_1, u_2 and u_3 , then the growth of the meromorphic solutions of the following algebraic differential equation are known:

$$p(z, f, f') = 0 \quad (3)$$

In the 1950's, Goldberg^[7] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1 All the meromorphic solutions of Eq. (3) are of finite order of growth.

In 1983, Strelitz^[8] got the following theorem.

Theorem 2 Every transcendental entire solution of a first-order algebraic differential equation with rational coefficients has an order no less than $1/2$.

In 1996, Hayman^[9] obtained the following result.

Theorem 3 Let $d = \max(\deg(a), \deg(b_0), \deg(b_1), \deg(b_2), \deg(d_0), \deg(d_1), \deg(d_2), \deg(d_3))$, and if $b_2(z) \not\equiv 0$, then any entire solution of (1) has finite order $\rho(f) \leq \max(2d, d + 1)$.

Recently, Liao and Yang^[1] showed the following theorem.

Theorem 4 If $\deg(d_2(z)) \neq \deg(a) - 1$ in (1) and $f(z)$ is a transcendental entire solution of Eq. (1), then $\rho(f) \geq 1$.

In this article, we shall show the following result.

Theorem 5 Let f be a transcendental entire solution of (1).

1) If $d_3(z) \not\equiv 0$ or $b_2(z) \not\equiv 0$, $\deg(d_3(z)) > \deg(b_2(z))$, then $\rho(f) \geq \deg(d_3(z)) - \deg(b_2(z)) + 1$;

2) If $d_3(z) \equiv 0$ and $b_2(z) \equiv 0$.

Subcase 1 If $a(z) \equiv 0$, $\deg(b_1(z)) < \deg(d_2(z))$, then $\rho(f) \geq \deg(d_2) - \deg(b_1) + 1$;

Subcase 2 If $d_2(z) \equiv 0$, $\deg(b_1(z)) > \deg(a(z))$, then $\rho(f) \geq \deg(b_1) - \deg(a) + 1$;

Subcase 3 If $b_1(z) \equiv 0$, $\deg(a(z)) < \deg(d_2(z))$, then $\rho(f) \geq (\deg(d_2) - \deg(a))/2 + 1$;

Subcase 4 If $a(z) \not\equiv 0$, $b_1(z) \not\equiv 0$ and $d_2(z) \not\equiv 0$, $\deg(b_1) = \max(\deg(a), \deg(b_1), \deg(d_2))$, then $\rho(f) \geq \deg(b_1) - \deg(a) + 1$;

Subcase 5 If $a(z) \not\equiv 0$, $b_1(z) \not\equiv 0$ and $d_2(z) \not\equiv 0$, $\deg(d_2) = \max(\deg(a), \deg(b_1), \deg(d_2))$, then $\rho(f) \geq \min((\deg(d_2) - \deg(a))/2 + 1, \deg(d_2) - \deg(b_1) + 1)$.

3) Otherwise $\mu(f) \leq 1$ and $\rho(f) \geq 1/2$.

2 Some Lemmas

Lemma 1 If f is an entire function of order ρ , then

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \rho &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ \nu(r, f)}{\log r} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ \log^+ \zeta(r, f)}{\log r} \\ \mu &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ \nu(r, f)}{\log r} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ \log^+ \zeta(r, f)}{\log r} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4)$$

Remark The proof of the first equality of the above lemma can be found in Ref. [4] and [5]. By using similar methods to those in Ref. [4], we can also prove the second one.

Lemma 2^[5] Let f be a transcendental entire function, and let $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{4}$.

Suppose that at the point z with $|z| = r$, the inequality $|f(z)| > M(r, f) \nu(r, f)^{-(1/4) + \delta}$ holds. Then there exists a set $F \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ of finite logarithmic measure, i. e., $\int dt/t < +\infty$, such that

$$f^{(m)}(z) = \left(\frac{\nu(r, f)}{z} \right)^m (1 + o(1)) f(z) \quad (5)$$

holds for all $m \geq 0$ and all $r \notin F$.

3 Proof of Theorem 5

Using theorem 1 and lemma 1, we know that there exists a positive number $C > 1$ such that, for sufficiently large r ,

$$\frac{\log^+ \nu(r, f)}{\log r} \leq C$$

so

$$\frac{\nu(r, f)}{r} \leq r^{C+1} \quad (6)$$

Now we choose $r_n \notin F$ and z_n such that

1) $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;

$$2) \quad |z_n| = r_n, \quad |f(z_n)| = M(r_n, f);$$

$$3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} \text{ exists.}$$

From lemma 2, we have

$$\frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} = \frac{\nu(r_n, f)}{z_n} (1 + o(1)) \quad (7)$$

Now we rewrite (1) as

$$\left(d_3(z) - b_2(z) \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) = \left[a(z) \left(\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^2 + b_1(z) \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} + b_0(z) \frac{f'(z)}{(f(z))^2} - d_2(z) - \frac{d_1(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{d_0(z)}{(f(z))^2} \right] \frac{1}{f(z)} \quad (8)$$

From (6), (7), and (8), for any $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\left| a(z_n) \left(\frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} \right)^2 + b_1(z_n) \frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} + b_0(z_n) \frac{f'(z_n)}{(f(z_n))^2} - d_2(z_n) - \frac{d_1(z_n)}{f(z_n)} - \frac{d_0(z_n)}{(f(z_n))^2} \right| < Mr^{m'} \quad (9)$$

where M and m' are two positive constants.

Note the fact that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{r^t} = \infty$ for any natural number t , then from this, (8), and (9), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[d_3(z_n) - b_2(z_n) \frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} \right] = 0 \quad (10)$$

Two cases are to be considered.

Case 1 $d_3(z) \not\equiv 0$ or $b_2(z) \not\equiv 0$.

Subcase 1 $\deg(d_3(z)) < \deg(b_2(z))$.

In this subcase, without loss of generality, we can suppose that

$$d_3(z) = d_p z^p + d_{p-1} z^{p-1} + \cdots + d_1 z + d_0$$

$$b_2(z) = b_q z^q + b_{q-1} z^{q-1} + \cdots + b_1 z + b_0$$

Combining this with (10), we get

$$\left[d_p + d_{p-1} z_n^{-1} + \cdots + d_0 z_n^{-p} - (b_q z_n^{q-p} + \cdots + b_p + \cdots + b_1 z_n^{-p+1} + b_0 z_n^{-p}) \frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} \right] \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} = 0$$

From this and (7), we have $\nu(r_n, f) \leq \varepsilon r_n$ for a sufficiently large n and for some positive constant $\varepsilon > 0$. So, by using lemma 1 and theorem 2, we get $\mu(f) \leq 1$ and $\rho(f) \geq 1/2$.

Subcase 2 $\deg(d_3(z)) = \deg(b_2(z))$.

One can see immediately that

$$\left[d_p + d_{p-1} z_n^{-1} + \cdots + d_0 z_n^{-p} - (b_p + b_{p-1} z_n^{-1} + \cdots + b_0 z^{-p}) \frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} \right] \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} = a \neq 0$$

for some constant a . It follows from this, and (7) that, for a sufficiently large n ,

$$\nu(r_n, f) \leq (|a| + \varepsilon) r_n$$

here $\varepsilon (> 0)$ is a constant, so, similarly we have $\mu(f) \leq 1$ and $\rho(f) \geq 1/2$.

Subcase 3 $\deg(d_3(z)) > \deg(b_2(z))$.

Then

$$\left[d_p + d_{p-1} z_n^{-1} + \cdots + d_0 z_n^{-p} - \left(b_q \frac{1}{z_n^{p-q}} + b_{q-1} \frac{1}{z_n^{p-q+1}} + \cdots + b_0 \frac{1}{z_n^p} \right) \frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} \right] \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and this implies that

$$\frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} \sim z_n^{p-q} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Therefore, from this, (7), and lemma 1, we have that

$$\rho(f) \geq p - q + 1$$

Case 2 $d_3(z) \equiv 0$ and $b_2(z) \equiv 0$ but $a(z) \not\equiv 0$ or $b_1(z) \not\equiv 0$ or $d_2(z) \not\equiv 0$.

Then (1) becomes

$$a(z)f'^2 + (b_1(z)f + b_0(z))f' = d_2(z)f^2 + d_1(z)f + d_0(z) \quad (11)$$

When substituting z with z_n in (11), we can rewrite (11) as

$$\left[a(z_n) \left(\frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} \right)^2 + b_1(z_n) \frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} - d_2(z_n) \right] = \left[d_1(z_n) + \frac{d_0(z_n)}{f(z_n)} - b_0(z_n) \frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} \right] \frac{1}{f(z_n)}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[a(z_n) \left(\frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} \right)^2 + b_1(z_n) \frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} - d_2(z_n) \right] = 0 \quad (12)$$

Subcase 1 $a(z) \equiv 0$.

In this subcase, when using the arguments as in case 1, we can get that

- 1) If $\deg(b_1(z)) = \deg(d_2(z))$, then $\mu(f) \leq 1$ and $\rho(f) \geq 1/2$;
- 2) If $\deg(b_1(z)) < \deg(d_2(z))$, then $\rho(f) \geq \deg(d_2) - \deg(b_1) + 1$;
- 3) If $\deg(b_1(z)) > \deg(d_2(z))$, then $\mu(f) \leq 1$ and $\rho(f) \geq 1/2$.

Subcase 2 $d_2(z) \equiv 0$.

In this subcase, similarly

- 1) If $\deg(b_1(z)) = \deg(a(z))$, then $\mu(f) \leq 1$ and $\rho(f) \geq 1/2$;
- 2) If $\deg(b_1(z)) > \deg(a(z))$, then $\rho(f) \geq \deg(b_1) - \deg(a) + 1$;
- 3) If $\deg(b_1(z)) < \deg(a(z))$, then $\mu(f) \leq 1$ and $\rho(f) \geq 1/2$.

Subcase 3 $b_1(z) \equiv 0$.

In this subcase, by using the same arguments as in case 1, we have that

- 1) If $\deg(a(z)) = \deg(d_2(z))$, then $\mu(f) \leq 1$ and $\rho(f) \geq 1/2$;
- 2) If $\deg(a(z)) < \deg(d_2(z))$, then $\rho(f) \geq (\deg(d_2) - \deg(a))/2 + 1$;
- 3) If $\deg(a(z)) > \deg(d_2(z))$, then $\mu(f) \leq 1$ and $\rho(f) \geq 1/2$.

Subcase 4 $a(z) \not\equiv 0$, $b_1(z) \not\equiv 0$ and $d_2(z) \not\equiv 0$.

1) If $\deg(a) = \max(\deg(a), \deg(b_1), \deg(d_2))$, then (12) yields $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} = 0$, so $\mu(f) \leq 1$ and $\rho(f) \geq 1/2$;

2) If $\deg(b_1) = \max(\deg(a), \deg(b_1), \deg(d_2))$, then (12) yields $\frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} \sim r_n^{\deg(b_1) - \deg(a)}$, so $\rho(f) \geq \deg(b_1) - \deg(a) + 1$;

3) If $\deg(d_2) = \max(\deg(a), \deg(b_1), \deg(d_2))$, then (12) yields

$$\frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} \sim r_n^{(\deg(d_2) - \deg(a))/2} \text{ or } \frac{f'(z_n)}{f(z_n)} \sim r_n^{\deg(d_2) - \deg(b_1)}$$

so

$$\rho(f) \geq (\deg(d_2) - \deg(a))/2 + 1 \text{ or } \rho(f) \geq \deg(d_2) - \deg(b_1) + 1$$

Now the proof of the theorem 5 is complete.

References

- [1] Liao L W, Yang C C. On the growth and factorization of entire solutions of algebraic differential equations[J]. *Ann Acad Sci Fen Math*, 2000, **25**(1): 73 - 84.
- [2] Ishizaki I. A result for a certain algebraic differential equation[J]. *Bull Hong Kong Math Soc*, 1997, **1**(2): 301 - 308.
- [3] Steinmetz N. Ein Malmquistscher satz fur algebraische differentialgleichungen erster ordnung[J]. *J Reine Angew Math*, 1980, **316**(1): 44 - 53.
- [4] He Y Z, Xiao X Z. *Algebroid functions and ordinary differential equations*[M]. Beijing: Science Press, 1988. 18 - 19. (in Chinese)
- [5] Laine I. *Nevanlinna theory and complex differential equations*[M]. Berlin, New York: Walter de Gruyter, 1993. 50 - 52.

[6] Jank G, Volkmann L. *Einführung in die theorie der ganzen und meromorphen funktionen mit anwendungen auf differentialgleichungen*[M]. Basel-Boston: Birkhauser, 1985. 36 – 37.

[7] Goldberg A A. On single-valued solutions of first-order differential equations[J]. *Ukrain Mat Zh*, 1956, **8**(2): 254 – 261.

[8] Strelitz S. Three theorems on the growth of entire transcendental solutions of algebraic differential equations[J]. *Canad J Math*, 1983, **35**(6): 1110 – 1128.

[9] Hayman W K. The growth of solutions of algebraic differential equations[J]. *Rend Mat Accad Lincei*, 1996, **7**(1): 67 – 73.

关于代数微分方程的超越整解的增长性

朱玲妹¹ 杨德贵² 王小灵^{1,3}

(¹ 南京经济学院应用数学系, 南京 210003)
(² 华南农业大学理学院, 广州 510642)
(³ 香港科技大学数学系, 香港)

摘 要 研究了如下代数微分方程 $a(z)f'^2 + (b_2(z)f^2 + b_1(z)f + b_0(z))f' = d_3(z)f^3 + d_2(z)f^2 + d_1(z)f + d_0(z)$ (这里 $a(z), b_i(z) (0 \leq i \leq 2)$ 和 $d_j(z) (0 \leq j \leq 3)$ 是多项式) 超越整函数解的增长性, 这类方程与有名的代数微分方程 $C(z, w)w'^2 + B(z, w)w' + A(z, w) = 0 (C(z, w) \not\equiv 0, B(z, w) \text{ 和 } A(z, w) \text{ 是 } z \text{ 和 } w \text{ 的 } 3 \text{ 个多项式})$ 有紧密的关系. 详细地给出了第 1 个方程的整函数解的增长性与它的 3 个多项式的次数之间的关系.

关键词 代数微分方程; 次数; 整解

中图分类号 O174.5