

Existence results for a class of parabolic evolution equations in Banach spaces

Wang Jing Xue Xingmei

(Department of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China)

Abstract: We discuss the existence results of the parabolic evolution equation $d(x(t) + g(t, x(t)))/dt + A(t)x(t) = f(t, x(t))$ in Banach spaces, where $A(t)$ generates an evolution system and functions f, g are continuous. We get the theorem of existence of a mild solution, the theorem of existence and uniqueness of a mild solution and the theorem of existence and uniqueness of an S-classical (semi-classical) solution. We extend the cases when $g(t) = 0$ or $A(t) = A$.

Key words: evolution system; analytic semigroup; mild solution; semi-classical solution; classical solution

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

The class of equations considered in this paper has the form

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(x(t) + g(t, x(t))) + A(t)x(t) &= f(t, x(t)) & t > 0 \\ x(0) &= x_0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

We consider this system as a Cauchy problem on a Banach space X , where $A(t)$ generates an evolution system; $f, g: [0, T] \times X \rightarrow X$ are appropriate continuous functions. The case $g \equiv 0$ has an extensive literature. See Refs. [1, 2] and the references contained therein. The present paper is related to some results when $A(t) \equiv A$ in Refs. [3-5]. In particular in Ref. [3], the author gave the existence of a mild solution, a semi-classical solution and a classical solution.

Throughout this paper X will be a Banach space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $\{A(t) \mid t \in [0, T]\}$ be a family of linear operators and satisfy:

① The domain $D(A(t)) = D$ of $A(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$ is dense in X and independent of t .

② For each $t \in [0, T]$, the resolvent $R(\lambda; A(t))$ of $A(t)$ exists for all λ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq 0$ and there is a constant $M \geq 0$ such that

$$\|R(\lambda; A(t))\| \leq \frac{M}{|\lambda| + 1} \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq 0, t \in [0, T]$$

③ There exist constants $H > 0$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ such that

$$\|(A(t) - A(s))A(\tau)^{-1}\| \leq H |t - s|^\alpha \quad \text{for } s, t, \tau \in [0, T]$$

④ For each $t \in [0, T]$, and some $\lambda \in \rho(A(t))$, the resolvent $R(\lambda, A(t))$ of $A(t)$ is a compact operator.

We remark that ② and ① imply that for every $t \in [0, T]$, $-A(t)$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup^[1] and together with condition ④ insure that this semigroup is compact for $t > 0$ ^[6].

Definition 1 A two parameter family of bounded linear operators $U(t, s)$, $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ on X is called an evolution system if the following two conditions are satisfied:

(i) $U(s, s) = I$, $U(t, r)U(r, s) = U(t, s)$ for $0 \leq s \leq r \leq t \leq T$;

(ii) $(t, s) \rightarrow U(t, s)$ is strongly continuous for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$.

Lemma 1^[1] Under the assumptions ①-③, there is a unique evolution system $U(t, s)$ on $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$, satisfying:

(i) $\|U(t, s)\| \leq C$ for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$;

(ii) For $0 \leq s < t \leq T$, $U(t, s): X \rightarrow D$ and $t \rightarrow U(t, s)$ are strongly differentiable in X . The derivative

$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U(t, s) \in B(X)$ and it is strongly continuous on $0 \leq s < t \leq T$.

Moreover,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U(t, s) + A(t)U(t, s) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s < t \leq T$$

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}U(t, s) \right\| = \|A(t)U(t, s)\| \leq \frac{C}{t-s}$$

and

$$\|A(t)U(t, s)A(s)^{-1}\| \leq C \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$$

(iii) For every $v \in D$ and $t \in [0, T]$, $U(t, s)v$ is differentiable with respect to s on $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}U(t, s)v = U(t, s)A(s)v$$

Lemma 2^[7] Let $\{A(t) \mid 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ satisfy conditions ①–④. If $\{U(t, s) \mid 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$ is the linear evolution system generated by $\{A(t)\}$, then $U(t, s)$ is a compact operator whenever $t - s > 0$.

Definition 2 A function $x \in C([0, r]: X)$ is called a mild solution of the abstract Cauchy problem (1) if the following holds: $x(0) = x_0$; for each $0 \leq t < r$ and $s \in [0, t)$ the function $U(t, s)A(s)g(s, x(s))$ is integrable and

$$x(t) = U(t, 0)(x_0 + g(0, x_0)) - g(t, x(t)) + \int_0^t U(t, s)A(s)g(s, x(s))ds + \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds$$

Definition 3 A function $x \in C([0, r]: X)$ is an S-classical (semi-classical) solution of the abstract Cauchy problem (1) if $x(0) = x_0$, $\frac{d}{dt}(x(t) + g(t, x(t)))$ is continuous on $(0, r)$, $x(t) \in D$ for all $t \in (0, r)$ and $x(\cdot)$ satisfies (1) on $(0, r)$.

Definition 4 A function $x \in C([0, r]: X)$ is called a classical solution of the abstract Cauchy problem (1) if $x(0) = x_0$, $x(t) \in D$ for all $t \in (0, r)$, \dot{x} is continuous on $(0, r)$, and $x(\cdot)$ satisfies (1) on $(0, r)$.

Lemma 3^[1] Let $\{A(t)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ satisfy the conditions ①–③ and let $U(t, s)$ be the evolution system provided by lemma 1. If f is Hölder continuous on $[s, t]$, then the initial value problem

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{du(t)}{dt} + A(t)u(t) &= f(t) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s < t \leq T \\ u(s) &= x \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2)$$

has, for every $x \in X$, a unique solution u given by

$$u(t) = U(t, s)x + \int_s^t U(t, \sigma)f(\sigma)d\sigma$$

For a function $\zeta \in C([0, a]: X)$ and $0 < t < a$, we will employ the notation

$$\|\zeta(\cdot)\|_t = \sup\{\|\zeta(s)\| : s \in [0, t]\}$$

Finally for $x_0 \in X$, we will use the notation $x(\cdot, x_0)$ for the mild solution of (1).

2 Main Results

In this section, we will give three theorems about the existence results for the abstract Cauchy problem (1).

Theorem 1 Let $x_0 \in X$, ①–④ are satisfied and assume that the following conditions hold:

(a) The function $g \in D(A(0)) = D$ and there exists $L \geq 0$ such that

$$\|A(0)g(t, x) - A(0)g(s, y)\| \leq L(|t - s| + \|x - y\|) \quad \text{for every } 0 \leq s, t \leq T \text{ and } x, y \in X; \text{ moreover, } \|A(0)^{-1}\|L = \mu < 1.$$

(b) The function f is continuous and takes bounded sets into bounded sets.

Then there exists a mild solution $x(\cdot, x_0)$ of the abstract Cauchy problem (1) defined on $[0, r]$ for some $0 < r < T$.

Proof Let $0 < r_1 < T$, $\delta > 0$ such that

$$V = \{(t, x(t)) \in [0, r_1] \times X : \|x(t) - x_0\| \leq \delta\}$$

Assuming that the function f is bounded on V by $C_1 > 0$, with ③ we know that $A(s)A(0)^{-1}$ is bounded by $C_2 > 0$.

Choose $0 < r < r_1$, such that

$$\|(U(t, 0) - I)x_0\| \leq \frac{1 - \mu}{6}\delta \quad (3)$$

$$\|A(0)^{-1}\|Lr + CC_2Lr^2 + CC_1r \leq \frac{1-\mu}{6}\delta \quad (4)$$

$$CC_2Lr \leq \frac{1-\mu}{6} \quad (5)$$

Define the set

$$S = \{x \in C([0, r]; X) \mid x(0) = x_0, \|x(t) - x_0\| \leq \delta, t \in [0, r]\}$$

It is easy to see that S is a nonempty bounded, closed and convex subset of $C([0, r]; X)$.

We define the operator:

$$Tx(t) = U(t, 0)(x_0 + g(0, x_0)) - g(t, x(t)) + \int_0^t U(t, s)A(s)g(s, x(s))ds + \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds$$

For the mapping T we consider the decomposition $T = T_1 + T_2$, where

$$T_1x(t) = U(t, 0)(x_0 + g(0, x_0)) - g(t, x(t)) + \int_0^t U(t, s)A(s)g(s, x(s))ds$$

$$T_2x(t) = \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds$$

Next we will prove that T_1 and T_2 are well defined in S , and T_1 is a contraction mapping and T_2 is compact.

It is clear that $U(t, s)A(s)g(s, x(s))$ is continuous on $s \in [0, t)$ and

$$\|A(0)g(t, x(t))\| \leq \|A(0)g(t, x(t)) - A(0)g(0, x_0)\| + \|A(0)g(0, x_0)\| \leq L(r_1 + \delta) + \|A(0)g(0, x_0)\|$$

So,

$$\|U(t, s)A(s)g(s, x(s))\| = \|U(t, s)A(s)A(0)^{-1}A(0)g(s, x(s))\| \leq CC_2(L(r + \delta) + \|A(0)g(0, x_0)\|)$$

which implies that $\|U(t, s)A(s)g(s, x(s))\|$ is integrable on $[0, t)$. We thus conclude that T_1 is well defined and with values in $C([0, r]; X)$. Samely, $U(t, s)f(s, x(s))$ is continuous on $[0, t)$, $s \in [0, t)$ and $\|U(t, s)f(s, x(s))\| \leq CC_1$ if $x \in S$, which implies that T_2 is well defined and with values in $C([0, r]; X)$.

Let $x, y \in S$, then for $t \in [0, r]$, with (3) - (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1x(t) + T_2y(t) - x_0\| &= \|(U(t, 0) - I)x_0\| + \|g(0, x_0) - g(t, x(t))\| + \\ &\int_0^t \|U(t, s)A(s)(g(s, x(s)) - g(0, x_0))\| ds + \int_0^t \|U(t, s)f(s, y(s))\| ds \leq \\ &\|(U(t, 0) - I)x_0\| + \|A(0)^{-1}\|L(r + \delta) + CC_2L(r + \delta)r + CC_1r \leq \frac{1-\mu}{6}\delta + \\ &\mu\delta + \frac{1-\mu}{6}\delta + \frac{1-\mu}{6}\delta \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1x(t) - T_1y(t)\| &\leq \|g(t, x(t)) - g(t, y(t))\| + \int_0^t \|U(t, s)A(s)(g(s, x(s)) - g(s, y(s)))\| ds \leq \\ &\|A(0)^{-1}\|L\|x(t) - y(t)\| + \int_0^t CC_2L\|x(s) - y(s)\| ds \leq (\|A(0)^{-1}\|L + CC_2Lr)\|x - y\|_r \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|T_1x - T_1y\|_r \leq (\|A(0)^{-1}\|L + CC_2Lr)\|x - y\|_r$$

The estimate (5) and $\mu < 1$ imply that T_1 is a contraction mapping on S .

Now, we shall show that T_2 is compact.

By lemma 2 we know that $U(t, s)$ is a compact operator whenever $t > s$.

Let $0 < \varepsilon < t$, for $x \in S$, we define

$$T_{2,\varepsilon}x(t) = \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} U(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds = U(t, t-\varepsilon)\int_0^{t-\varepsilon} U(t-\varepsilon, s)f(s, x(s)) ds$$

The set $\{T_{2,\varepsilon}x(t)\}_{x \in S}$ is precompact in X because $U(t, t-\varepsilon)$ is compact for $0 < \varepsilon < t$ and $\int_0^{t-\varepsilon} U(t-\varepsilon, s)f(s, x(s))ds$ is bounded in S .

We observe that

$$\|T_{2,\varepsilon}x(t) - Tx(t)\| \leq \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t \|U(t,s)f(s,x(s))\| ds \leq CC_1\varepsilon$$

which implies that $\{T_2x(t)\}_{x \in S}$ is precompact.

For any $t_1 < t_2 \in (0, r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_2x(t_1) - T_2x(t_2)\| &= \left\| \int_0^{t_1} U(t_1,s)f(s,x(s))ds - \int_0^{t_2} U(t_2,s)f(s,x(s))ds \right\| \leq \\ &\int_0^{t_1} \|(U(t_1,s) - U(t_2,s))f(s,x(s))\| ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|U(t_2,s)f(s,x(s))\| ds \leq \\ &C_1 \int_0^{t_1} \|U(t_1,s) - U(t_2,s)\| ds + CC_1 |t_1 - t_2| \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $T_2x(t)$ is equicontinuous. From Arzela-Ascoli's theorem we know that T_2 is compact.

Using an extended fixed point theorem, we know that T has a fixed point $x(t)$ in S . This fixed point is the desired mild solution of the abstract Cauchy problem (1).

Theorem 2 Assume that $\{A(t)\}$ satisfy ① - ③. Let $x_0 \in X$ and the following conditions hold:

(a) The function $g \in D(A(0)) = D$ and there exists $L \geq 0$ such that $\|A(0)g(t,x) - A(0)g(s,y)\| \leq L(|t-s| + \|x-y\|)$ for every $0 \leq s, t \leq T$ and $x, y \in X$; moreover, $\|A(0)^{-1}\|L = \mu < 1$.

(b) The function f is continuous and there exists $N > 0$ such that $\|f(t,x) - f(s,y)\| \leq N(|t-s| + \|x-y\|)$ for $0 \leq s, t \leq T$ and $x, y \in X$.

Then there exists a unique mild solution $x(\cdot, x_0)$ of the abstract problem (1) defined on $[0, r]$ for some $0 < r < T$.

Proof The proof is given in two steps.

Step 1 First we consider the Cauchy problem

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(x(t) + g(t, x(t))) + A(t)x(t) &= h(t) \\ x(0) &= x_0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6)$$

where $h(t)$ is continuous. Let $0 < r_1 < T$, $\delta > 0$ such that

$$V = \{(t, x(t)) \in [0, r_1] \times X : \|x(t) - x_0\| \leq \delta\}$$

So, $h(t)$ is bounded on $[0, r_1]$, assume that $\|h(t)\| \leq C'_1$.

For $(t, x(t)) \in V$, we have

$$\|A(0)g(t, x(t))\| \leq \|A(0)g(t, x(t)) - A(0)g(0, x_0)\| + \|A(0)g(0, x_0)\| \leq L(r_1 + \delta) + \|A(0)g(0, x_0)\|$$

$$\|f(t, x(t))\| \leq \|f(t, x(t)) - f(0, x_0)\| + \|f(0, x_0)\| \leq N(r_1 + \delta) + \|f(0, x_0)\|$$

Let $C_1 = \max\{C'_1; L(r_1 + \delta) + \|A(0)g(0, x_0)\|; N(r_1 + \delta) + \|f(0, x_0)\|\}$, so h, f and $A(0)g$ are bounded on V by $C_1 > 0$. With the condition ③ we know that $A(s)A(0)^{-1}$ is bounded by $C_2 > 0$.

Choose $0 < r < r_1$ such that

$$\|(U(t,0) - I)x_0\| \leq \frac{1-\mu}{6}\delta \quad (7)$$

$$\|A(0)^{-1}\|Lr + CC_2Lr^2 + CC_1r \leq \frac{1-\mu}{6}\delta \quad (8)$$

$$CC_2Lr \leq \frac{1-\mu}{6} \quad (9)$$

$$C Nr < 1 \quad (10)$$

Define the set

$$S = \{x \in C([0, r]; X) \mid x(0) = x_0, \|x(t) - x_0\| \leq \delta, t \in [0, r]\}$$

It is easy to see that S is a nonempty bounded, closed and convex subset of $C([0, r]; X)$.

We define the operator:

$$Tx(t) = U(t,0)(x_0 + g(0, x_0)) - g(t, x(t)) + \int_0^t U(t,s)A(s)g(s, x(s))ds + \int_0^t U(t,s)h(s)ds$$

The same as in theorem 1 we can see that T is well defined and with values in $C([0, r]; X)$. Let $x \in S$, with (7) - (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx(t) - x_0\| &\leq \| (U(t,0) - I)x_0 \| + \|g(0, x_0) - g(t, x(t))\| + \\ &\int_0^t \|U(t, s)A(s)(g(s, x(s)) - g(0, x_0))\| ds + \int_0^t \|U(t, s)h(s)\| ds \leq \| (U(t,0) - I)x_0 \| + \\ &\|A(0)^{-1}\|L(r + \delta) + CC_2L(r + \delta)r + CC_1r \leq \frac{1-\mu}{6}\delta + \mu\delta + \frac{1-\mu}{6}\delta + \frac{1-\mu}{6}\delta \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $T: S \rightarrow S$.

Furthermore, for any $x, y \in S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx(t) - Ty(t)\| &\leq \|g(t, x(t)) - g(t, y(t))\| + \int_0^t \|U(t, s)A(s)(g(s, x(s)) - g(s, y(s)))\| ds \leq \\ &\|A(0)^{-1}\|L\|x(t) - y(t)\| + \int_0^t CC_2L\|x(s) - y(s)\| ds \leq (\|A(0)^{-1}\|L + CC_2Lr)\|x - y\|_r \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|Tx - Ty\|_r \leq (\|A(0)^{-1}\|L + CC_2Lr)\|x - y\|_r$$

The estimate (9) and $\mu < 1$ imply that T is a contraction mapping on S .

By the Banach contraction principle T has a unique fixed point $x(t)$ in S . This fixed point is the mild solution of (6).

Step 2 Define the solution operator K by $Kh(t) = x(t)$, here $x(t)$ is the mild solution of (6). Let $Gx(t) = Kf(t, x(t))$. Next we prove that G has a fixed point in S .

For any continuous functions $h_1(t), h_2(t), t \in [0, r]$, we have

$$\|Kh_1(t) - Kh_2(t)\| \leq \int_0^t \|U(t, s)(h_1(s) - h_2(s))\| ds \leq Cr\|h_1 - h_2\|_r$$

Then for any $x, y \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Gx(t) - Gy(t)\| &= \|Kf(t, x(t)) - Kf(t, y(t))\| \leq Cr\|f(t, x(t)) - f(t, y(t))\|_r \leq \\ &CNr\|x - y\|_r \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\|Gx - Gy\|_r \leq CNr\|x - y\|_r$$

The estimate (10) implies that G is a contraction mapping.

And if $\|x(t) - x_0\| \leq \delta$, then $\|f(t, x(t))\| \leq C_1$, from step 1 we can see that $G: S \rightarrow S$.

By the Banach contraction principle we conclude that G has a unique fixed point in S , and this fixed point is the desired mild solution of (1).

In fact, we can prove that the unique mild solution is a semi-classical solution. We state it out as a theorem.

Theorem 3 Under the assumptions in theorem 2, if $x_0 \in D$, then there exists a unique S-classical solution $x(\cdot, x_0) \in C([0, r]: X)$ for some $0 < r < T$.

Proof From theorem 2 we get the mild solution of (1)

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= U(t,0)(x_0 + g(0, x_0)) - g(t, x(t)) + \int_0^t U(t, s)A(s)g(s, x(s))ds + \\ &\int_0^t U(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds \end{aligned}$$

We affirm that $x(\cdot)$ is locally Hölder continuous. We shall make use of the following inequalities^[8]:

$$\|U(t + h, \tau) - U(t, \tau)\| \leq \frac{Mh^\gamma}{|t - \tau|^\gamma} \quad \text{if } 0 < h < 1, |t - \tau| \geq h \text{ and } 0 < \gamma < 1$$

For any $0 < h < t - s$ sufficiently small, $t \in [\epsilon, r), \forall \epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t + h) - x(t)\| &\leq \| (U(t + h,0) - U(t,0))(x_0 + g(0, x_0)) \| + \|g(t + h, x(t + h)) - g(t, x(t))\| + \\ &\int_0^t \|(U(t + h, s) - U(t, s))A(s)(g(s, x(s)))\| ds + \int_t^{t+h} \|U(t + h, s)A(s)(g(s, x(s)))\| ds + \\ &\int_0^t \|(U(t + h, s) - U(t, s))f(s, x(s))\| ds + \int_t^{t+h} \|U(t + h, s)f(s, x(s))\| ds \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon} \|(x_0 + g(0, x_0))\| h + \\ &\|A(0)^{-1}\|L(h + \|x(t + h) - x(t)\|) + C_2C_1M \frac{r^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}h^\gamma + CC_2C_1h + C_1M \frac{r^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}h^\gamma + CC_1h \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality can be rewritten in the form

$$\|x(t+h) - x(t)\| \leq \frac{P(t, x_0)}{1 - \mu} h^\gamma$$

Since $\mu = L\|A(0)^{-1}\|N < 1$. Therefore the function $x(\cdot)$ is locally γ -Hölder continuous on $(0, r)$. Now it is easy to show that $t \rightarrow A(t)g(t, x(t))$ and $t \rightarrow f(t, x(t))$ are ρ -Hölder continuous on $(0, r)$, where $\rho = \min\{\alpha, \gamma\}$. So, $f(t, x(t)) + A(t)g(t, x(t))$ is ρ -Hölder continuous on $(0, r)$. From lemma 3, we conclude that $x(t) + g(t, x(t))$ is a C^1 function on $(0, r)$. The proof is completed.

Remark Assume that $g(\cdot) \in D(A)$, f and g are continuously differential functions on $[0, T] \times X$, then $x(\cdot, x_0)$ is a classical solution.

References

- [1] Pazy A. *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*[M]. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [2] Goldstein Jerome A. *Semigroups of linear operators and applications*[M]. New York: The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, 1985.
- [3] Eduardo Hernández M. Existence results for a class of semi-linear evolution equations[J]. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 2001(24):1 - 14.
- [4] Eduardo Hernández, Henríquez Hernán R. Existence of periodic solutions of partial neutral functional-differential equations with unbounded delay[J]. *J Math Anal Appl*, 1998, **221**(2):499 - 522.
- [5] Eduardo Hernández, Henríquez Hernán R. Existence results for partial neutral functional-differential equations with unbounded delay[J]. *J Math Anal Appl*, 1998, **221**(2): 452 - 475.
- [6] Hale J. *Functional differential equations*[M]. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [7] Fitzgibbon W E. Semilinear functional differential equations in Banach space[J]. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 1978, **29**:1 - 14.
- [8] Friedman A. *Partial differential equations*[M]. New York:Holt, Rinehart and Winston Press, 1969.

Banach 空间中一类半线性发展方程的存在结果

王 静 薛星美

(东南大学数学系, 南京 210096)

摘 要 讨论了 Banach 空间中抛物发展方程 $d(x(t) + g(t, x(t)))/dt + A(t)x(t) = f(t, x(t))$ 的存在结果, 这里 $A(t)$ 生成一个发展系统, 函数 f, g 是连续的. 笔者分别给出适度解定理, 适度解存在惟一性定理和半古典解存在惟一性定理, 推广了前人 $g(t) \equiv 0$ 或 $A(t) \equiv A$ 的结果.

关键词 发展系统; 解析半群; 适度解; 半古典解; 古典解

中图分类号 O177.2