

# Existence results for a class of parabolic evolution equations in Banach spaces

Wang Jing      Xue Xingmei

(Department of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China)

**Abstract:** We discuss the existence results of the parabolic evolution equation  $d(x(t) + g(t, x(t)))/dt + A(t)x(t) = f(t, x(t))$  in Banach spaces, where  $A(t)$  generates an evolution system and functions  $f, g$  are continuous. We get the theorem of existence of a mild solution, the theorem of existence and uniqueness of a mild solution and the theorem of existence and uniqueness of an S-classical (semi-classical) solution. We extend the cases when  $g(t) = 0$  or  $A(t) = A$ .

**Key words:** evolution system; analytic semigroup; mild solution; semi-classical solution; classical solution

## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

The class of equations considered in this paper has the form

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(x(t) + g(t, x(t))) + A(t)x(t) &= f(t, x(t)) \quad t > 0 \\ x(0) &= x_0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

We consider this system as a Cauchy problem on a Banach space  $X$ , where  $A(t)$  generates an evolution system;  $f, g: [0, T] \times X \rightarrow X$  are appropriate continuous functions. The case  $g \equiv 0$  has an extensive literature. See Refs. [1, 2] and the references contained therein. The present paper is related to some results when  $A(t) \equiv A$  in Refs. [3–5]. In particular in Ref. [3], the author gave the existence of a mild solution, a semi-classical solution and a classical solution.

Throughout this paper  $X$  will be a Banach space equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Let  $\{A(t) \mid t \in [0, T]\}$  be a family of linear operators and satisfy:

① The domain  $D(A(t)) = D$  of  $A(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$  is dense in  $X$  and independent of  $t$ .

② For each  $t \in [0, T]$ , the resolvent  $R(\lambda; A(t))$  of  $A(t)$  exists for all  $\lambda$  with  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq 0$  and there is a constant  $M \geq 0$  such that

$$\|R(\lambda; A(t))\| \leq \frac{M}{|\lambda| + 1} \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq 0, t \in [0, T]$$

③ There exist constants  $H > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  such that

$$\|(A(t) - A(s))A(\tau)^{-1}\| \leq H|t - s|^\alpha \quad \text{for } s, t, \tau \in [0, T]$$

④ For each  $t \in [0, T]$ , and some  $\lambda \in \rho(A(t))$ , the resolvent  $R(\lambda, A(t))$  of  $A(t)$  is a compact operator.

We remark that ② and ① imply that for every  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $-A(t)$  is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup<sup>[1]</sup> and together with condition ④ insure that this semigroup is compact for  $t > 0$ <sup>[6]</sup>.

**Definition 1** A two parameter family of bounded linear operators  $U(t, s)$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$  on  $X$  is called an evolution system if the following two conditions are satisfied:

(i)  $U(s, s) = I$ ,  $U(t, r)U(r, s) = U(t, s)$  for  $0 \leq s \leq r \leq t \leq T$ ;

(ii)  $(t, s) \rightarrow U(t, s)$  is strongly continuous for  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ .

**Lemma 1**<sup>[1]</sup> Under the assumptions ①–③, there is a unique evolution system  $U(t, s)$  on  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ , satisfying:

(i)  $\|U(t, s)\| \leq C$  for  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ ;

(ii) For  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ ,  $U(t, s): X \rightarrow D$  and  $t \rightarrow U(t, s)$  are strongly differentiable in  $X$ . The derivative

$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U(t, s) \in B(X)$  and it is strongly continuous on  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ .

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, s) + A(t) U(t, s) &= 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s < t \leq T \\ \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, s) \right\| &= \|A(t) U(t, s)\| \leq \frac{C}{t-s} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|A(t) U(t, s) A(s)^{-1}\| \leq C \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$$

(iii) For every  $v \in D$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $U(t, s)v$  is differentiable with respect to  $s$  on  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$  and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} U(t, s)v = U(t, s)A(s)v$$

**Lemma 2**<sup>[7]</sup> Let  $\{A(t) \mid 0 \leq t \leq T\}$  satisfy conditions ①–④. If  $\{U(t, s) \mid 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$  is the linear evolution system generated by  $\{A(t)\}$ , then  $U(t, s)$  is a compact operator whenever  $t - s > 0$ .

**Definition 2** A function  $x \in C([0, r]; X)$  is called a mild solution of the abstract Cauchy problem (1) if the following holds:  $x(0) = x_0$ ; for each  $0 \leq t < r$  and  $s \in [0, t]$  the function  $U(t, s)A(s)g(s, x(s))$  is integrable and

$$x(t) = U(t, 0)(x_0 + g(0, x_0)) - g(t, x(t)) + \int_0^t U(t, s)A(s)g(s, x(s))ds + \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds$$

**Definition 3** A function  $x \in C([0, r]; X)$  is an S-classical (semi-classical) solution of the abstract Cauchy problem (1) if  $x(0) = x_0$ ,  $\frac{d}{dt}(x(t) + g(t, x(t)))$  is continuous on  $(0, r)$ ,  $x(t) \in D$  for all  $t \in (0, r)$  and  $x(\cdot)$  satisfies (1) on  $(0, r)$ .

**Definition 4** A function  $x \in C([0, r]; X)$  is called a classical solution of the abstract Cauchy problem (1) if  $x(0) = x_0$ ,  $x(t) \in D$  for all  $t \in (0, r)$ ,  $\dot{x}$  is continuous on  $(0, r)$ , and  $x(\cdot)$  satisfies (1) on  $(0, r)$ .

**Lemma 3**<sup>[1]</sup> Let  $\{A(t)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$  satisfy the conditions ①–③ and let  $U(t, s)$  be the evolution system provided by lemma 1. If  $f$  is Hölder continuous on  $[s, t]$ , then the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du(t)}{dt} + A(t)u(t) = f(t) & \text{for } 0 \leq s < t \leq T \\ u(s) = x \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

has, for every  $x \in X$ , a unique solution  $u$  given by

$$u(t) = U(t, s)x + \int_s^t U(t, \sigma)f(\sigma)d\sigma$$

For a function  $\zeta \in C([0, a]; X)$  and  $0 < t < a$ , we will employ the notation

$$\|\zeta(\cdot)\|_t = \sup\{\|\zeta(s)\| : s \in [0, t]\}$$

Finally for  $x_0 \in X$ , we will use the notation  $x(\cdot, x_0)$  for the mild solution of (1).

## 2 Main Results

In this section, we will give three theorems about the existence results for the abstract Cauchy problem (1).

**Theorem 1** Let  $x_0 \in X$ , ①–④ are satisfied and assume that the following conditions hold:

(a) The function  $g \in D(A(0)) = D$  and there exists  $L \geq 0$  such that

$$\|A(0)g(t, x) - A(0)g(s, y)\| \leq L(|t - s| + \|x - y\|) \text{ for every } 0 \leq s, t \leq T \text{ and } x, y \in X; \text{ moreover, } \|A(0)^{-1}\|L = \mu < 1.$$

(b) The function  $f$  is continuous and takes bounded sets into bounded sets.

Then there exists a mild solution  $x(\cdot, x_0)$  of the abstract Cauchy problem (1) defined on  $[0, r]$  for some  $0 < r < T$ .

**Proof** Let  $0 < r_1 < T$ ,  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$V = \{(t, x(t)) \in [0, r_1] \times X : \|x(t) - x_0\| \leq \delta\}$$

Assuming that the function  $f$  is bounded on  $V$  by  $C_1 > 0$ , with ③ we know that  $A(s)A(0)^{-1}$  is bounded by  $C_2 > 0$ .

Choose  $0 < r < r_1$ , such that

$$\|(U(t, 0) - I)x_0\| \leq \frac{1 - \mu}{6}\delta \quad (3)$$

$$\|A(0)^{-1}\|Lr + CC_2Lr^2 + CC_1r \leq \frac{1-\mu}{6}\delta \quad (4)$$

$$CC_2Lr \leq \frac{1-\mu}{6} \quad (5)$$

Define the set

$$S = \{x \in C([0, r]; X) \mid x(0) = x_0, \|x(t) - x_0\| \leq \delta, t \in [0, r]\}$$

It is easy to see that  $S$  is a nonempty bounded, closed and convex subset of  $C([0, r]; X)$ .

We define the operator:

$$Tx(t) = U(t, 0)(x_0 + g(0, x_0)) - g(t, x(t)) + \int_0^t U(t, s)A(s)g(s, x(s))ds + \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds$$

For the mapping  $T$  we consider the decomposition  $T = T_1 + T_2$ , where

$$T_1x(t) = U(t, 0)(x_0 + g(0, x_0)) - g(t, x(t)) + \int_0^t U(t, s)A(s)g(s, x(s))ds$$

$$T_2x(t) = \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds$$

Next we will prove that  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are well defined in  $S$ , and  $T_1$  is a contraction mapping and  $T_2$  is compact.

It is clear that  $U(t, s)A(s)g(s, x(s))$  is continuous on  $s \in [0, t)$  and

$$\|A(0)g(t, x(t))\| \leq \|A(0)g(t, x(t)) - A(0)g(0, x_0)\| + \|A(0)g(0, x_0)\| \leq L(r_1 + \delta) + \|A(0)g(0, x_0)\|$$

So,

$$\|U(t, s)A(s)g(s, x(s))\| = \|U(t, s)A(s)A(0)^{-1}A(0)g(s, x(s))\| \leq CC_2(L(r + \delta) + \|A(0)g(0, x_0)\|)$$

which implies that  $\|U(t, s)A(s)g(s, x(s))\|$  is integrable on  $[0, t)$ . We thus conclude that  $T_1$  is well defined and with values in  $C([0, r]; X)$ . Samely,  $U(t, s)f(s, x(s))$  is continuous on  $[0, t)$ ,  $s \in [0, t)$  and  $\|U(t, s)f(s, x(s))\| \leq CC_1$  if  $x \in S$ , which implies that  $T_2$  is well defined and with values in  $C([0, r]; X)$ .

Let  $x, y \in S$ , then for  $t \in [0, r]$ , with (3) - (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1x(t) + T_2y(t) - x_0\| &= \|(U(t, 0) - I)x_0\| + \|g(0, x_0) - g(t, x(t))\| + \\ &\int_0^t \|U(t, s)A(s)(g(s, x(s)) - g(0, x_0))\|ds + \int_0^t \|U(t, s)f(s, y(s))\|ds \leq \\ &\|(U(t, 0) - I)x_0\| + \|A(0)^{-1}\|L(r + \delta) + CC_2L(r + \delta)r + CC_1r \leq \frac{1-\mu}{6}\delta + \\ &\mu\delta + \frac{1-\mu}{6}\delta + \frac{1-\mu}{6}\delta \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1x(t) - T_1y(t)\| &\leq \|g(t, x(t)) - g(t, y(t))\| + \int_0^t \|U(t, s)A(s)(g(s, x(s)) - g(s, y(s)))\|ds \leq \\ &\|A(0)^{-1}\|L\|x(t) - y(t)\| + \int_0^t CC_2L\|x(s) - y(s)\|ds \leq (\|A(0)^{-1}\|L + CC_2Lr)\|x - y\|_r \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|T_1x - T_1y\|_r \leq (\|A(0)^{-1}\|L + CC_2Lr)\|x - y\|_r$$

The estimate (5) and  $\mu < 1$  imply that  $T_1$  is a contraction mapping on  $S$ .

Now, we shall show that  $T_2$  is compact.

By lemma 2 we know that  $U(t, s)$  is a compact operator whenever  $t > s$ .

Let  $0 < \varepsilon < t$ , for  $x \in S$ , we define

$$T_{2,\varepsilon}x(t) = \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} U(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds = U(t, t-\varepsilon)\int_0^{t-\varepsilon} U(t-\varepsilon, s)f(s, x(s))ds$$

The set  $\{T_{2,\varepsilon}x(t)\}_{x \in S}$  is precompact in  $X$  because  $U(t, t-\varepsilon)$  is compact for  $0 < \varepsilon < t$  and  $\int_0^{t-\varepsilon} U(t-\varepsilon, s)f(s, x(s))ds$  is bounded in  $S$ .

We observe that

$$\|T_{2,\varepsilon}x(t) - Tx(t)\| \leq \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t \|U(t,s)f(s,x(s))\| ds \leq CC_1\varepsilon$$

which implies that  $\{T_2x(t)\}_{x \in S}$  is precompact.

For any  $t_1 < t_2 \in (0, r)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_2x(t_1) - T_2x(t_2)\| &= \left\| \int_0^{t_1} U(t_1,s)f(s,x(s))ds - \int_0^{t_2} U(t_2,s)f(s,x(s))ds \right\| \leq \\ &\int_0^{t_1} \|(U(t_1,s) - U(t_2,s))f(s,x(s))\| ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|U(t_2,s)f(s,x(s))\| ds \leq \\ &C_1 \int_0^{t_1} \|U(t_1,s) - U(t_2,s)\| ds + CC_1|t_1 - t_2| \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $T_2x(t)$  is equicontinuous. From Arzela-Ascoli's theorem we know that  $T_2$  is compact.

Using an extended fixed point theorem, we know that  $T$  has a fixed point  $x(t)$  in  $S$ . This fixed point is the desired mild solution of the abstract Cauchy problem (1).

**Theorem 2** Assume that  $\{A(t)\}$  satisfy ① – ③. Let  $x_0 \in X$  and the following conditions hold:

(a) The function  $g \in D(A(0)) = D$  and there exists  $L \geq 0$  such that  $\|A(0)g(t,x) - A(0)g(s,y)\| \leq L(|t-s| + \|x-y\|)$  for every  $0 \leq s, t \leq T$  and  $x, y \in X$ ; moreover,  $\|A(0)^{-1}\|L = \mu < 1$ .

(b) The function  $f$  is continuous and there exists  $N > 0$  such that  $\|f(t,x) - f(s,y)\| \leq N(|t-s| + \|x-y\|)$  for  $0 \leq s, t \leq T$  and  $x, y \in X$ .

Then there exists a unique mild solution  $x(\cdot, x_0)$  of the abstract problem (1) defined on  $[0, r]$  for some  $0 < r < T$ .

**Proof** The proof is given in two steps.

**Step 1** First we consider the Cauchy problem

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(x(t) + g(t, x(t))) + A(t)x(t) &= h(t) \\ x(0) &= x_0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6)$$

where  $h(t)$  is continuous. Let  $0 < r_1 < T$ ,  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$V = \{(t, x(t)) \in [0, r_1] \times X : \|x(t) - x_0\| \leq \delta\}$$

So,  $h(t)$  is bounded on  $[0, r_1]$ , assume that  $\|h(t)\| \leq C'_1$ .

For  $(t, x(t)) \in V$ , we have

$$\|A(0)g(t, x(t))\| \leq \|A(0)g(t, x(t)) - A(0)g(0, x_0)\| + \|A(0)g(0, x_0)\| \leq L(r_1 + \delta) + \|A(0)g(0, x_0)\|$$

$$\|f(t, x(t))\| \leq \|f(t, x(t)) - f(0, x_0)\| + \|f(0, x_0)\| \leq N(r_1 + \delta) + \|f(0, x_0)\|$$

Let  $C_1 = \max\{C'_1; L(r_1 + \delta) + \|A(0)g(0, x_0)\|; N(r_1 + \delta) + \|f(0, x_0)\|\}$ , so  $h, f$  and  $A(0)g$  are bounded on  $V$  by  $C_1 > 0$ . With the condition ③ we know that  $A(s)A(0)^{-1}$  is bounded by  $C_2 > 0$ .

Choose  $0 < r < r_1$  such that

$$\|(U(t, 0) - I)x_0\| \leq \frac{1-\mu}{6}\delta \quad (7)$$

$$\|A(0)^{-1}\|Lr + CC_2Lr^2 + CC_1r \leq \frac{1-\mu}{6}\delta \quad (8)$$

$$CC_2Lr \leq \frac{1-\mu}{6} \quad (9)$$

$$C Nr < 1 \quad (10)$$

Define the set

$$S = \{x \in C([0, r]; X) \mid x(0) = x_0, \|x(t) - x_0\| \leq \delta, t \in [0, r]\}$$

It is easy to see that  $S$  is a nonempty bounded, closed and convex subset of  $C([0, r]; X)$ .

We define the operator:

$$Tx(t) = U(t, 0)(x_0 + g(0, x_0)) - g(t, x(t)) + \int_0^t U(t, s)A(s)g(s, x(s))ds + \int_0^t U(t, s)h(s)ds$$

The same as in theorem 1 we can see that  $T$  is well defined and with values in  $C([0, r]; X)$ . Let  $x \in S$ , with (7) – (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx(t) - x_0\| &\leq \| (U(t,0) - I)x_0 \| + \|g(0, x_0) - g(t, x(t))\| + \\ &\int_0^t \|U(t, s)A(s)(g(s, x(s)) - g(0, x_0))\| ds + \int_0^t \|U(t, s)h(s)\| ds \leq \| (U(t,0) - I)x_0 \| + \\ &\|A(0)^{-1}\|L(r + \delta) + CC_2L(r + \delta)r + CC_1r \leq \frac{1-\mu}{6}\delta + \mu\delta + \frac{1-\mu}{6}\delta + \frac{1-\mu}{6}\delta \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $T: S \rightarrow S$ .

Furthermore, for any  $x, y \in S$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx(t) - Ty(t)\| &\leq \|g(t, x(t)) - g(t, y(t))\| + \int_0^t \|U(t, s)A(s)(g(s, x(s)) - g(s, y(s)))\| ds \leq \\ &\|A(0)^{-1}\|L\|x(t) - y(t)\| + \int_0^t CC_2L\|x(s) - y(s)\| ds \leq (\|A(0)^{-1}\|L + CC_2Lr)\|x - y\|_r \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|Tx - Ty\|_r \leq (\|A(0)^{-1}\|L + CC_2Lr)\|x - y\|_r$$

The estimate (9) and  $\mu < 1$  imply that  $T$  is a contraction mapping on  $S$ .

By the Banach contraction principle  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x(t)$  in  $S$ . This fixed point is the mild solution of (6).

**Step 2** Define the solution operator  $K$  by  $Kh(t) = x(t)$ , here  $x(t)$  is the mild solution of (6). Let  $Gx(t) = Kf(t, x(t))$ . Next we prove that  $G$  has a fixed point in  $S$ .

For any continuous functions  $h_1(t), h_2(t), t \in [0, r]$ , we have

$$\|Kh_1(t) - Kh_2(t)\| \leq \int_0^t \|U(t, s)(h_1(s) - h_2(s))\| ds \leq Cr\|h_1 - h_2\|_r$$

Then for any  $x, y \in S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Gx(t) - Gy(t)\| &= \|Kf(t, x(t)) - Kf(t, y(t))\| \leq Cr\|f(t, x(t)) - f(t, y(t))\|_r \leq \\ &CNr\|x - y\|_r \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\|Gx - Gy\|_r \leq CNr\|x - y\|_r$$

The estimate (10) implies that  $G$  is a contraction mapping.

And if  $\|x(t) - x_0\| \leq \delta$ , then  $\|f(t, x(t))\| \leq C_1$ , from step 1 we can see that  $G: S \rightarrow S$ .

By the Banach contraction principle we conclude that  $G$  has a unique fixed point in  $S$ , and this fixed point is the desired mild solution of (1).

In fact, we can prove that the unique mild solution is a semi-classical solution. We state it out as a theorem.

**Theorem 3** Under the assumptions in theorem 2, if  $x_0 \in D$ , then there exists a unique S-classical solution  $x(\cdot, x_0) \in C([0, r]; X)$  for some  $0 < r < T$ .

**Proof** From theorem 2 we get the mild solution of (1)

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= U(t, 0)(x_0 + g(0, x_0)) - g(t, x(t)) + \int_0^t U(t, s)A(s)g(s, x(s))ds + \\ &\int_0^t U(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds \end{aligned}$$

We affirm that  $x(\cdot)$  is locally Hölder continuous. We shall make use of the following inequalities<sup>[8]</sup>:

$$\|U(t + h, \tau) - U(t, \tau)\| \leq \frac{Mh^\gamma}{|t - \tau|^\gamma} \quad \text{if } 0 < h < 1, |t - \tau| \geq h \text{ and } 0 < \gamma < 1$$

For any  $0 < h < t - s$  sufficiently small,  $t \in [\epsilon, r)$ ,  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t + h) - x(t)\| &\leq \|(U(t + h, 0) - U(t, 0))(x_0 + g(0, x_0))\| + \|g(t + h, x(t + h)) - g(t, x(t))\| + \\ &\int_0^t \|(U(t + h, s) - U(t, s))A(s)(g(s, x(s)))\| ds + \int_t^{t+h} \|U(t + h, s)A(s)(g(s, x(s)))\| ds + \\ &\int_0^t \|(U(t + h, s) - U(t, s))f(s, x(s))\| ds + \int_t^{t+h} \|U(t + h, s)f(s, x(s))\| ds \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon} \|(x_0 + g(0, x_0))\| h + \\ &\|A(0)^{-1}\|L(h + \|x(t + h) - x(t)\|) + C_2C_1M \frac{r^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}h^\gamma + CC_2C_1h + C_1M \frac{r^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}h^\gamma + CC_1h \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality can be rewritten in the form

$$\|x(t+h) - x(t)\| \leq \frac{P(t, x_0)}{1-\mu} h^\gamma$$

Since  $\mu = L\|A(0)^{-1}\|N < 1$ . Therefore the function  $x(\cdot)$  is locally  $\gamma$ -Hölder continuous on  $(0, r)$ . Now it is easy to show that  $t \rightarrow A(t)g(t, x(t))$  and  $t \rightarrow f(t, x(t))$  are  $\rho$ -Hölder continuous on  $(0, r)$ , where  $\rho = \min\{\alpha, \gamma\}$ . So,  $f(t, x(t)) + A(t)g(t, x(t))$  is  $\rho$ -Hölder continuous on  $(0, r)$ . From lemma 3, we conclude that  $x(t) + g(t, x(t))$  is a  $C^1$  function on  $(0, r)$ . The proof is completed.

**Remark** Assume that  $g(\cdot) \in D(A)$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  are continuously differential functions on  $[0, T] \times X$ , then  $x(\cdot, x_0)$  is a classical solution.

## References

- [1] Pazy A. *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*[M]. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [2] Goldstein Jerome A. *Semigroups of linear operators and applications*[M]. New York: The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, 1985.
- [3] Eduardo Hernández M. Existence results for a class of semi-linear evolution equations[J]. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 2001(24):1-14.
- [4] Eduardo Hernández, Henríquez Hernán R. Existence of periodic solutions of partial neutral functional-differential equations with unbounded delay[J]. *J Math Anal Appl*, 1998, **221**(2):499-522.
- [5] Eduardo Hernández, Henríquez Hernán R. Existence results for partial neutral functional-differential equations with unbounded delay[J]. *J Math Anal Appl*, 1998, **221**(2):452-475.
- [6] Hale J. *Functional differential equations*[M]. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [7] Fitzgibbon W E. Semilinear functional differential equations in Banach space[J]. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 1978, **29**:1-14.
- [8] Friedman A. *Partial differential equations*[M]. New York:Holt, Rinehart and Winston Press, 1969.

# Banach 空间中一类半线性发展方程的存在结果

王 静      薛星美

(东南大学数学系, 南京 210096)

**摘 要** 讨论了 Banach 空间中抛物发展方程  $d(x(t) + g(t, (x)))/dt + A(t)x(t) = f(t, x(t))$  的存在结果, 这里  $A(t)$  生成一个发展系统, 函数  $f, g$  是连续的. 笔者分别给出适度解定理, 适度解存在惟一性定理和半古典解存在惟一性定理, 推广了前人  $g(t) \equiv 0$  或  $A(t) \equiv A$  的结果.

**关键词** 发展系统; 解析半群; 适度解; 半古典解; 古典解

**中图分类号** O177.2