

Hamiltonicity, neighborhood union and square graphs of claw-free graphs

Xu Xinping

(Department of Mathematics, Jiangsu Institute of Education, Nanjing 210013, China)

Abstract: Let G be a graph, the square graph G^2 of G is a graph satisfying $V(G^2) = V(G)$ and $E(G^2) = E(G) \cup \{uv : \text{dist}_G(u, v) = 2\}$. In this paper, we use the technique of vertex insertion on l -connected ($l = k$ or $k + 1$, $k \geq 2$) claw-free graphs to provide a unified proof for G to be Hamiltonian, 1-Hamiltonian or Hamiltonian-connected. The sufficient conditions are expressed by the inequality concerning $\sum_{i=0}^k |N(Y_i)|$ and $n(Y)$ in G for each independent set $Y = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ of the square graph of G , where b ($0 < b < k + 1$) is an integer, $Y_i = \{y_i, y_{i-1}, \dots, y_{i-(b-1)}\} \subseteq Y$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$, where subscriptions of y_j 's will be taken modulo $k + 1$, and $n(Y) = |\{v \in V(G) : \text{dist}(v, Y) \leq 2\}|$.

Key words: Hamiltonicity; claw-free graph; neighborhood union; vertex insertion; square graph

1 Preliminaries and Main Results

In this paper, the terminology and notation not defined will follow Ref.[1], and we consider simple finite graphs only. G will always stand for a graph. Let G be a graph, for any $u \in V(G)$, let $N(u)$ denote the neighborhood of u and $d(u) = |N(u)|$ be the degree of u . More generally, for any $U \subseteq V(G)$, let $N(U) = \bigcup_{u \in U} N(u)$.

Many results on Hamiltonicity of claw-free graphs have been discovered. We are especially interested in the following results.

Theorem 1^[2] Let G be a k -connected claw-free graph of order $n \geq 3$, and $k \geq 2$. If $\sum_{i=0}^k d(y_i) > n - k - 1$ for each independent set $\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ of G , then G is Hamiltonian.

Theorem 2^[3] Let G be a k -connected claw-free graph with $k \geq 2$. If $\alpha(G^2) \leq k$, then G is Hamiltonian.

Theorem 3^[4] Let G be a $(k + 1)$ -connected claw-free graph with $k \geq 2$. If $\sum_{i=0}^k d(y_i) > n - k + 2$ for each independent set $\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ of G , then G is Hamiltonian-connected.

To understand the relation among these sufficient conditions on Hamiltonicity. We introduce the following notation.

Let $t > 1$ be an integer. Denote $I_t(G) = \{Y : Y \text{ is an independent set of } G, |Y| = t\}$.

Let G be connected, $Y \subseteq V(G)$, and $v \in V(G)$.

Denote $\text{dist}(v, Y) = \min_{y \in Y} \{\text{dist}(v, y)\}$, where $\text{dist}(v, y)$ stands for the distance between v and y in G ,

$$N_i(Y) = \{v \in V(G) : \text{dist}(v, Y) = i\}$$

$$i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$n(Y) = |N_0(Y) \cup N_1(Y) \cup N_2(Y)| = |\{v \in V(G) : \text{dist}(v, Y) \leq 2\}|$$

Clearly, $N(Y) = N_1(Y)$, and $n(Y) \leq |V(G)|$. For each $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, |Y|\}$, denote

$$S_i(Y) = \{v \in V(G) : |N(v) \cap Y| = i\}$$

For $v \in V(G)$, denote $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$. Let $\{u, v\} \subseteq V(G)$. Set

$$J(u, v) = \{w \in N(u) \cap N(v) : N(w) \subseteq N[u] \cup N[v]\}$$

The partially square graph $G^{*[5]}$ of G is a graph satisfying $V(G^*) = V(G)$ and $E(G^*) = E(G) \cup \{uv : uv \notin E(G), \text{ and } J(u, v) \neq \emptyset\}$.

The square graph G^2 of G is a graph satisfying $V(G^2) = V(G)$ and $E(G^2) = E(G) \cup \{uv : \text{dist}_G(u, v) = 2\}$.

Clearly, $V(G) = V(G^*) = V(G^2)$, $E(G) \subseteq E(G^*) \subseteq E(G^2)$.

In this paper, we use the vertex inserting lemmas introduced in Ref.[6] to prove the following new results (theorems 4 to 6). In theorems 4 to 6, we assume that $Y = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k\} \in I_{k+1}(G)$ is an ordered set, $Y_i = \{y_i, y_{i-1}, \dots, y_{i-(b-1)}\} \subseteq Y$, for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$, where the subscriptions of y_j 's will be taken modulo $k + 1$.

Theorem 4 Let G be a k -connected claw-free graph with $k \geq 2$, and let b be an integer with $0 < b < k + 1$. If

$$\sigma_b(Y) = \sum_{i=0}^k |N(Y_i)| > b(n(Y) - k - 1)$$

Received 2003-10-23.

Foundation item: The National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.19971043).

Biography: Xu Xinping (1964—), female, doctor, associate professor.

in G for each $Y \in I_{k+1}(G^2)$, then G is **Hamiltonian**.

Theorem 5 Let G be a $(k+1)$ -connected claw-free graph with $k \geq 2$, and let b be an integer with $0 < b < k+1$. If

$$\sigma_b(Y) = \sum_{i=0}^k |N(Y_i)| > b(n(Y) - k - 1)$$

in G for each $Y \in I_{k+1}(G^2)$, then G is **1-Hamiltonian**.

Theorem 6 Let G be a $(k+1)$ -connected claw-free graph with $k \geq 3$, and let b be an integer with $0 < b < k+1$. If

$$\sigma_b(Y) = \sum_{i=0}^k |N(Y_i)| > b(n(Y) - k - 1)$$

in G for each $Y \in I_{k+1}(G^2)$, then G is Hamiltonian-connected.

For the case $b=1$, we have the following results.

Corollary 1 Let G be a k -connected claw-free graph with $k \geq 2$. If

$$\sum_{i=0}^k d(y_i) > n(Y) - k - 1$$

in G for each $Y = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k\} \in I_{k+1}(G^2)$, then G is **Hamiltonian**.

Corollary 2 Let G be a $(k+1)$ -connected claw-free graph with $k \geq 2$. If

$$\sum_{i=0}^k d(y_i) > n(Y) - k - 1$$

in G for each $Y = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k\} \in I_{k+1}(G^2)$, then G is **1-Hamiltonian**.

Corollary 3 Let G be a $(k+1)$ -connected claw-free graph with $k \geq 3$. If

$$\sum_{i=0}^k d(y_i) > n(Y) - k - 1$$

in G for each $Y = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k\} \in I_{k+1}(G^2)$, then G is Hamiltonian-connected.

From the above corollaries, it is easy to see that theorem 4 generalizes theorems 1 and 2, and theorem 6 generalizes theorem 3 with slight improvements.

2 Vertex Inserting Lemmas

In this section, we always assume that G is a connected non-Hamiltonian graph and C is a maximal cycle of G , i.e., there is no cycle C' in G , such that $V(C) \subset V(C')$, and H is a component of $G - V(C)$. Also assume $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\} \subseteq N_C(H)$ and v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m occur on C in the order of their indices. The subscriptions of v_i 's will be taken modulo m . If $x \in V(C)$, denote by x^+ and x^- the successor and the predecessor of x along the orientation of C , respectively.

For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, a vertex $u \in C(v_i, v_{i+1})$ is called insertible^[6] if there is some vertex $w \in$

$C[v_{i+1}, v_i]$ such that $\{w, w^+\} \subseteq N(u)$. Otherwise u is called non-insertible.

Lemma 1^[6] Let $u \in C(v_i, v_{i+1})$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. If all vertices in $C(v_i, u)$ are insertible, then $u \notin N_C(H)$. Therefore, there exists a vertex in $C(v_i, v_{i+1})$, which is non-insertible.

By lemma 1, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, let x_i be the first non-insertible vertex in $C(v_i, v_{i+1})$.

Let $X_m = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ and $X_M = \{x_0\} \cup X_m$ (where x_0 is an arbitrary vertex of H). Set

$$X' = \{x_{p_1}, x_{p_2}, \dots, x_{p_k}\} \subseteq X_m$$

where $1 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k \leq m$. For convenience, we always assume that $x_{p_t} = x'_t$ and $v_{p_t} = v'_t$ for $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Set $X = X' \cup \{x'_0\}$ (where $x'_0 = x_0$).

$$\text{Denote } J_X = \bigcup_{t=1}^k C[x'_t, v'_{t+1}], K_X = V(G) \setminus J_X.$$

Lemma 2^[6] $X_M \in I_{m+1}(G)$, $X \in I_{k+1}(G)$, $K_X \subseteq S_0(X) \cup S_1(X)$, and $K_X \cap N_0(X) = \{x'_0\}$.

Lemma 3^[5] $X_M \in I_{m+1}(G^*)$, therefore $X \in I_{k+1}(G^*)$.

A segment $C[z_1, z_2] (\subseteq C[x'_t, v'_{t+1}], t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\})$ is called a CX-segment if

- ① $C(z_1, z_2) \cap S_0(X) = \emptyset$;
- ② $z_1 \in N_2(X) \cup X$, $z_2 \in S_0(X) \cup \{v'_{t+1}\}$.

A CX-segment $C[z_1, z_2]$ is said to be simple if $C(z_1, z_2) \subseteq S_1(X)$.

Lemma 4^[6] Let $C[z_1, z_2] (\subseteq C[x'_t, v'_{t+1}], t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\})$ be a CX-segment. If $L_i = N(x'_i) \cap C(z_1, z_2)$ ($i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$), then

$$L_t, L_{t-1}, \dots, L_1, L_k, L_{k-1}, \dots, L_{t+1}, L_0$$

(some of them may be empty) form consecutive subpaths of $C(z_1, z_2)$ which can only have their endvertices in common, and $|L_i| \leq 1$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{t\}$.

3 Other Lemmas

Lemma 5 Let G be a claw-free graph. Then ① $G^* = G^{2[3]}$; ② $V(G) = S_0(X) \cup S_1(X)$ ^[7]. So each CX-segment on J_X is simple.

We always assume that b is an integer ($0 < b < k+1$); $X_i = \{x'_i, x'_{i-1}, \dots, x'_{i-(b-1)}\} (\subseteq X)$ (for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$, and the subscriptions of x'_j 's will be taken modulo $k+1$).

Let $U \subseteq V(G)$. We always set

$$\sigma_b(U, X) = \sum_{i=0}^k |N(X_i) \cap U|$$

$$\sigma_b(X) = \sigma_b(V(G), X) = \sum_{i=0}^k |N(X_i)|$$

Lemma 6 ① If $w \in S_1(X)$, then $\sigma_b(\{w\}, X)$

$= b$; ② $\sigma_b(K_X, X) \leq b(|K_X| - 1 - |\bigcup_{l>2} (N_l(X) \cap K_X)|)$; ③ Let G be a claw-free graph, and λ be the number of CX-segments on C , then

$$\sigma_b(J_X, X) = b(|J_X| - \lambda - |\bigcup_{l>2} (N_l(X) \cap J_X)|)$$

Proof By the definition of $\sigma_b(Y)$ and lemma 2, it is not difficult to check that ① and ② hold.

We first prove two results.

1) Let $C[z_1, z_2] (\subseteq C[x'_t, v'_{t+1}], t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\})$ be a CX-segment, then

$$\sigma_b(C[z_1, z_2], X) = b(|C[z_1, z_2]| - 1)$$

In fact by lemma 5②, $C[z_1, z_2]$ is a simple CX-segment, so for $w \in C(z_1, z_2)$, $w \in S_1(X)$; and $z_1 \in S_0(X)$. Thus by ①,

$$\sigma_b(C[z_1, z_2], X) = \sum_{w \in C(z_1, z_2)} b = b(|C[z_1, z_2]| - 1)$$

so 1) holds.

2) If there are λ_t CX-segments on $C[x'_t, v'_{t+1}]$, then

$$\sigma_b(C[x'_t, v'_{t+1}], X) = b(|C[x'_t, v'_{t+1}]| - \lambda_t - |\bigcup_{l>2} (N_l(X) \cap C[x'_t, v'_{t+1}])|)$$

In fact for $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, divide

$$C[x'_t, v'_{t+1}] \setminus (\bigcup_{l>2} (N_l(X) \cap C[x'_t, v'_{t+1}]))$$

into λ_t CX-segments

$$C[z_{11}^{(t)}, z_{12}^{(t)}], C[z_{21}^{(t)}, z_{22}^{(t)}], \dots, C[z_{\lambda_t 1}^{(t)}, z_{\lambda_t 2}^{(t)}]$$

Thus by 1)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_b(C[x'_t, v'_{t+1}], X) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_t} \sigma_b(C[z_{j1}^{(t)}, z_{j2}^{(t)}], X) = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_t} b(|C[z_{j1}^{(t)}, z_{j2}^{(t)}]| - 1) = \\ &= b(|C[x'_t, v'_{t+1}]| - \lambda_t - |\bigcup_{l>2} (N_l(X) \cap C[x'_t, v'_{t+1}])|) \end{aligned}$$

so 2) holds.

Now we prove ③. Consider that $J_X = \bigcup_{t=1}^k C[x'_t, v'_{t+1}]$, and $\sum_{t=1}^k \lambda_t = \lambda$. Thus by 2), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_b(J_X, X) &= \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_b(C[x'_t, v'_{t+1}], X) = \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^k b(|C[x'_t, v'_{t+1}]| - \lambda_t - |\bigcup_{l>2} (N_l(X) \cap C[x'_t, v'_{t+1}])|) = \\ &= b(|J_X| - \lambda - |\bigcup_{l>2} (N_l(X) \cap J_X)|) \end{aligned}$$

so ③ holds.

Lemma 7 Let G be a claw-free graph, and λ be the number of CX-segments on C , then

$$\sigma_b(X) \leq b(n(X) - \lambda - 1) \leq b(n(X) - k - 1)$$

Proof Note that $V(G) = J_X \cup K_X$, and $\lambda \geq k$.

Thus by lemma 6② and lemma 6③,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_b(X) &= \sigma_b(J_X, X) + \sigma_b(K_X, X) \leq \\ &= b(|J_X| - \lambda - |\bigcup_{l>2} (N_l(X) \cap J_X)|) + \\ &= b(|K_X| - 1 - |\bigcup_{l>2} (N_l(X) \cap K_X)|) = \\ &= b(n(X) - \lambda - 1) \leq b(n(X) - k - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Now we involve a graph G' other than G . In order to distinguish the notation such as $N(U)$, $S_i(X)$, $N_j(X)$, K_X , $n(X)$, $\sigma_b(X)$ introduced for G , we will simply add a prime to the notation with respect to G' . For example, $N'(U)$, $S'_i(X)$, etc.

By the proof of theorems 9 and 10 in Ref.[8], we have the following two lemmas.

Lemma 8 Assume that G is a $(k+1)$ -connected graph with $k \geq 2$, and there exists some $w \in V(G)$ such that $G' = G - \{w\}$ is non-Hamiltonian. Choose a cycle C of G' such that

- ① $|N'_C(w)|$ is maximum;
- ② Subject to ①, C is maximal.

Let H be a component of $G' - V(C)$, and $N'_C(H) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ with the convention that v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m occur on C in the order of their indices. Set x_i as the first non-insertible vertex in $C(v_i, v_{i+1})$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Let $X_M = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m\}$, where x_0 is an arbitrary vertex of H . Then $X_M \in I_{m+1}((G')^*)$, and there exists some $X \subseteq X_M$, such that $x_0 \in X$ and $X \in I_{k+1}(G^*)$.

Lemma 9 Assume that G is a $(k+1)$ -connected graph with $k \geq 3$, and there is some $\{u_1, u_2\} \subseteq V(G)$, G contains no (u_1, u_2) -Hamiltonian-path. If there exists a (u_1, u_2) -path P such that

- ① $V(P) \supseteq N(u_2)$;
- ② Subject to ①, $|N_P(u_1)|$ is maximum;
- ③ Subject to ① and ②, P is maximal.

Let H be a component of $G - V(P)$. Denote by G' the resulting graph obtained from G by adding a new vertex w and two new edges u_1w, u_2w . Then

① In G' , $C = P[u_1, u_2]wu_1$ is a maximal (choose the orientation of C agree with that of P), but not Hamiltonian cycle of G' ; H is a component of $G' - V(C)$.

② Let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\} = N'_C(H) = N_P(H)$. Then $v_m \neq u_2$, there exists the first non-insertible vertex x_i in $C(v_i, v_{i+1})$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, where $m \geq k+1 \geq 4$; $X_M = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m\} \in I_{m+1}((G')^*)$, where x_0 is arbitrarily chosen in $V(H)$.

③ There exists $X \subseteq X_M$, such that $x_0 \in X$ and $X \in I_{k+1}(G^*)$.

4 Proofs of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 4 Suppose that graph G

satisfies the conditions but is non-Hamiltonian. Let C be the longest cycle of G , and H a component of $G - V(C)$. Since G is a k -connected graph with $k \geq 2$, $|N_C(H)| \geq k$. Let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\} \subseteq N_C(H)$ (where $k = m$). Thus by lemma 1, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, choose x_i the first non-insertible vertex in $C(v_i, v_{i+1})$. Set $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ (where x_0 is an arbitrary vertex of H).

Note that G is a claw-free graph. By lemma 3 and lemma 5 ①, $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k\} \in I_{k+1}(G^*) = I_{k+1}(G^2)$. On the other hand, by lemma 7, we have

$$\sigma_b(X) = \sum_{i=0}^k |N(X_i)| \leq b(n(X) - k - 1)$$

a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 5 Suppose that G satisfies the conditions but is not 1-hamiltonian. Then there exists a $w \in V(G)$ such that $G' = G - \{w\}$ is non-Hamiltonian. Since G is claw-free, G' is also claw-free. Choose a cycle C of G' such that

- ① $|N'_C(w)|$ is maximum;
- ② Subject to ①, C is maximal.

Let H be a component of $G' - V(C)$, and $N'_C(H) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ with the convention that v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m occur on C in the order of their indices. Set x_i as the first non-insertible vertex in $C(v_i, v_{i+1})$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Let $X_M = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m\}$, where x_0 is an arbitrary vertex of H . By lemma 8, there is $X \subseteq X_M$, such that $x_0 \in X$ and $X \in I_{k+1}(G^*)$.

Note that G is a claw-free graph. By lemma 5 ①, $X \in I_{k+1}(G^*) = I_{k+1}(G^2)$. On the other hand, since G and G' are claw-free graphs, $w \in S_0(X) \cup S_1(X)$ and $V(G') = S'_0(X) \cup S'_1(X)$. Set $\xi = 0$ if $w \in S_0(X)$, $\xi = 1$ if $w \in S_1(X)$; so $n'(X) + \xi \leq n(X)$. Thus by lemma 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_b(X) &= \sum_{i=0}^k |N(X_i)| = \sum_{i=0}^k |N'(X_i)| + b\xi = \\ &\sigma'_b(X) + b\xi \leq b(n'(X) - k - 1 + \xi) \leq \\ &b(n(X) - k - 1) \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 6 Suppose that graph G satisfies the conditions but is not Hamiltonian-connected. Then there is some $\{u_1, u_2\} \subseteq V(G)$, G contains no (u_1, u_2) -Hamiltonian-path. By theorem 5, there is a Hamiltonian cycle C' in $G - u_2$. Choose an orientation of C' , let $C'(u'_2, u_1) \cap N(u_2) = \emptyset$ and $u'_2 \in N_C(u_2)$. Then the (u_1, u_2) -path $C'[u_1, u'_2]u_2$ contains the set $N(u_2)$. Thus one can choose a (u_1, u_2) -path P such that

- ① $V(P) \supseteq N(u_2)$;

- ② Subject to ①, $|N_P(u_1)|$ is maximum;

- ③ Subject to ① and ②, P is maximal.

Let H be a component of $G - V(P)$. Add a new vertex w and two new edges u_1w, u_2w to G and denote by G' the resulting graph. By lemma 9①, $C = P[u_1, u_2]wu_1$ is a maximal cycle in G' (choose the orientation of C agree with that of P), but not Hamiltonian cycle of G' ; H is a component of $G' - V(C)$. Let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\} = N'_C(H) = N_P(H)$. By lemma 9②, $v_m \neq u_2$, there exists the first non-insertible vertex x_i in $C(v_i, v_{i+1})$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, where $m \geq k + 1 \geq 4$; $X_M = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m\} \in I_{m+1}((G')^*)$, where x_0 is arbitrarily chosen in $V(H)$. By lemma 9 ③, there exists $X \subseteq X_M$, such that $x_0 \in X$ and $X \in I_{k+1}(G^*)$.

Note that G is a claw-free graph. By lemma 5 ①, $X \in I_{k+1}(G^*) = I_{k+1}(G^2)$. On the other hand, by the construction of G' , $n'(X) \leq n(X) + 1$ and $w \in S'_0(X) \cup S'_1(X)$. Set $\xi = 0$ if $w \in S'_0(X)$, $\xi = 1$ if $w \in S'_1(X)$. Since $|N'_C(H)| \geq k + 1$, it is easy to see that $\lambda' \geq k + 1 - \xi$ (where λ' is the number of CX-segments on J'_X). Thus by lemma 7, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_b(X) &= \sum_{i=0}^k |N(X_i)| = \sum_{i=0}^k |N'(X_i)| - \xi b = \\ &\sigma'_b(X) - \xi b \leq b(n'(X) - \lambda - 1 - \xi) \leq \\ &b(n(X) - (k + 1 - \xi) - \xi) = \\ &b(n(X) - k - 1) \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

References

- [1] Bondy J A, Murty U S R. *Graph theory with applications* [M]. London: Macmillan, 1976.
- [2] Zhang C Q. Hamilton cycle in claw-free graphs [J]. *J Graph Theory*, **1988**, **12**(2): 209 - 216.
- [3] Ainouche A, Kouider M. Cycles in square graphs, Preprint, 1995.
- [4] Wu Z S, Jin Y H, Zha Q Z. Hamiltonian-path and Hamiltonian-connected in k -connected claw-free graphs [J]. *Chinese Science Bulletin*, **1991**, **36**(2): 154. (in Chinese)
- [5] Ainouche A, Kouider M. Hamiltonism and partially square graphs [J]. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, **1999**, **15**(3): 257 - 265.
- [6] Liu Y P, Tian F, Wu Z S. Sequence concerning Hamiltonicity of graphs [J]. *Journal of Nanjing Normal University (Natural Science Edition)*, **1995**, **18**(1): 19 - 28. (in Chinese)
- [7] Wu Z S, Xu X P, Zhang X R, et al. Hamiltonian cycles in $K_{1,r}$ -free graphs [J]. *Advances in Mathematics*, **2002**, **31**(3): 261 - 270.
- [8] Wu Z S, Zhang X R, Zhou X H. Hamiltonicity, neighborhood intersections and partially squared graphs [J]. *Discrete Mathematics*, **2002**, **242**(1 - 3): 245 - 254.

哈密尔顿性、邻域并和无爪图的平方图

徐新萍

(江苏教育学院数学系, 南京 210013)

摘要: 设 G 是一个图, G 的平方图 G^2 满足 $V(G^2) = V(G)$, $E(G^2) = E(G) \cup \{uv: \text{dist}_G(u, v) = 2\}$. 本文利用插点方法, 给出了关于 k 或 $(k+1)$ -连通 ($k \geq 2$) 无爪图 G 是哈密尔顿的、1-哈密尔顿的或哈密尔顿连通的统一证明. 其充分条件是 G 中关于 $\sum_{i=0}^k |N(Y_i)|$ 与 $n(Y)$ 的不等式, 这里 $Y = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ 是图 G^2 的任一独立集, 对于 $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$, $Y_i = \{y_i, y_{i-1}, \dots, y_{i-(b-1)}\} \subseteq Y$ (y_j 的下标将取模 $k+1$); b 是一个整数, 且 $0 < b < k+1$; $n(Y) = |\{v \in V(G): \text{dist}(v, Y) \leq 2\}|$.

关键词: 哈密尔顿性; 无爪图; 邻域并; 插点; 平方图

中图分类号: O157.5