

A generalization of co- \ast^n -modules

Yao Lingling Chen Jianlong

(Department of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, China)

Abstract: A module is called a co- \ast^∞ -module if it is co-selfsmall and ∞ -quasi-injective. The properties and characterizations are investigated. When a module U is a co- \ast^∞ -module, the functor $\text{Hom}_{\ast U}(-, U)$ is exact in $\text{Copro}^\infty(U)$. A module U is a co- \ast^∞ -module if and only if U is co-selfsmall and for any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow U^I \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ with $M \in \text{Copro}^\infty(U)$ and I is a set, $N \in \text{Copro}^\infty(U)$ is equivalent to $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, U) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(U^I, U)$ is a monomorphism if and only if U is co-selfsmall and for any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ with $L, N \in \text{Copro}^\infty(U)$, $N \in \text{Copro}^\infty(U)$ is equivalent to the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow \Delta(N) \rightarrow \Delta(M) \rightarrow \Delta(L) \rightarrow 0$ which is exact if and only if U induces a duality $\Delta_{U_s}: {}^\perp U_S \Leftrightarrow \text{Copro}^\infty(U): \Delta_{\ast U}$. Moreover, U is a co- \ast^n -module if and only if U is a co- \ast^∞ -module and $\text{Copro}^\infty(U) = \text{Copro}^n(U)$.

Key words: co- \ast^∞ -module; ∞ -quasi-injective; co-selfsmall; co- \ast^n -module

Both quasi-progenerators^[1] and tilting modules over arbitrary rings^[2-5] induce equivalences between certain categories of modules that are generalizations of Morita equivalence. In Ref. [6], Menini and Orsatti introduced a generalization of these modules which is called \ast -modules nowadays. Colpi et al.^[4,7-9] investigated this concept and some others worked over a dual notion of quasi-progenerators, called quasi-duality modules, cotilting modules (the dual notion of tilting modules), costar modules (the dual notion of \ast -modules), which have been a popular topic in module theory. These modules induce various generalizations of Morita duality.

Recently, Wei^[10] generalized the notion of \ast -modules to \ast^n -modules which can be viewed as a generalization of both \ast -modules and tilting modules of projective dimensions $\leq n$. Just as costar modules to \ast -modules done by Colby and Fuller^[11], Yao et al.^[12] introduced the dual situation of \ast^n -modules: co- \ast^n -modules. Then the properties and characterizations of co- \ast^n -modules are studied and it is proved that co-selfsmall n -cotilting modules are co- \ast^n -modules.

In this paper, we mainly consider a more general setting. We introduce the notion of co- \ast^∞ -modules which generalizes co- \ast^n -modules. The most important results on co- \ast^n -modules are extended to co- \ast^∞ -modules. In particular, we present a characterization of the co- \ast^∞ -module ${}_R U$ with S

$= \text{End}({}_R U)$ in terms of category duality:

$$\Delta_{U_s} = \text{Hom}_S(-, U): C \Leftrightarrow D: \Delta_{\ast U} = \text{Hom}_R(-, U)$$

between full subcategories $C \subseteq S\text{-Mod}$ and $D \subseteq R\text{-Mod}$ where C consists of modules N such that $\text{Ext}_S^i(N, U) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, and D consists of modules ∞ -copresented by U .

1 Preliminaries

All the rings have non-zero identity and all the modules are unitary. For every ring R , $R\text{-Mod}$ ($\text{Mod-}R$) denotes the category of all the left (right) R -modules. Let ${}_R U \in R\text{-Mod}$. A left R -module ${}_R N$ is called n -copresented by ${}_R U$ if there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow {}_R N \rightarrow U^{I_0} \rightarrow U^{I_1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow U^{I_{n-2}} \rightarrow U^{I_{n-1}}$ where $I_i (0 \leq i \leq n-1)$ are index sets. We say that $N \in R\text{-Mod}$ is ∞ -copresented by U if it is n -copresented by U for all n . Denote $\text{Copro}^n({}_R U)$ and $\text{Copro}^\infty({}_R U)$ with the category of all the modules that are n -copresented and ∞ -copresented, respectively by ${}_R U$. It is clear to see that there is an inclusion between categories: $\text{Copro}^\infty({}_R U) \subseteq \text{Copro}^{n+1}({}_R U) \subseteq \text{Copro}^n({}_R U)$. Denote $\text{Copro}^2({}_R U)$ by $\text{Copro}({}_R U)$ and $\text{Copro}^1({}_R U)$ by $\text{Cogen}({}_R U)$ as usual.

Let R and S be rings and ${}_R U_S$ be a given bimodule. We denote $\Delta_{\ast U}$ the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, U)$ and Δ_{U_s} the functor $\text{Hom}_S(-, U)$, both of which can be simply denoted as Δ_U or Δ if no confusion appears. It is well known that $(\Delta_{\ast U}, \Delta_{U_s})$ is a pair of adjoint contravariant functors with the canonical morphism:

$$\delta_X: X \rightarrow \Delta^2 X \text{ with } x \mapsto (f \mapsto f(x))$$

A left R -module ${}_R U$ is said to be selfsmall if for any set X there is the canonical isomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(U, U^{(X)}) \cong \text{Hom}_R(U, U)^{(X)}$. ${}_R U$ is called n -quasi-projective if for any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow U^{(X)} \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ in $R\text{-Mod}$ with ${}_R M \in \text{Pres}^{n-1}({}_R U)$, and the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(U, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(U, U^{(X)}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(U, N) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Dually, a left R -module ${}_R U$ is called co-selfsmall if for any set I there is the canonical isomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(U, U)^{(I)} \cong \text{Hom}_R(U^I, U)$. ${}_R U$ is n -quasi-injective if for any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow U^I \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ with ${}_R N \in \text{Copro}^{n-1}({}_R U)$, and the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow \Delta(N) \rightarrow \Delta(U^I) \rightarrow \Delta(M) \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

Lemma 1^[13] For any X in $R\text{-Mod}$ or $\text{Mod-}S$, and a bimodule ${}_R U_S$, δ_X is a monomorphism if and only if $X \in \text{Cogen}(U)$.

By taking a free resolution of N_S , one can easily obtain the following result.

Lemma 2 Let ${}_R U \in R\text{-Mod}$ and $S = \text{End}({}_R U)$. Then $\Delta_{U_s}(N) \in \text{Copro}({}_R U)$ for any $N_S \in \text{Mod-}S$. If, moreover, $\text{Ext}_S^i(N, U) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $\Delta_{U_s}(N) \in \text{Copro}^{n+2}({}_R U)$.

Received 2009-11-06.

Biographies: Yao Lingling (1982—), female, graduate; Chen Jianlong (corresponding author), male, doctor, professor, jlchen@seu.edu.cn.

Foundation items: The National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10971024), Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No. 200802860024).

Citation: Yao Lingling, Chen Jianlong. A generalization of co- \ast^n -modules [J]. Journal of Southeast University (English Edition), 2010, 26(3): 505 – 508.

Let ${}_R U \in R\text{-Mod}$. ${}_R U$ is called a $\text{co-} *^n$ -module if ${}_R U$ is co-selfsmall, $(n+1)$ -quasi-injective, and $\text{Coprores}^{n+1}({}_R U) = \text{Coprores}^n({}_R U)$. In Ref. [12], the following result is proved.

Theorem 1 Let ${}_R U$ be a left R -module and $S = \text{End}({}_R U)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) ${}_R U$ is a $\text{co-} *^n$ -module;
- 2) ${}_R U$ is co-selfsmall and for any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow U^I \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ in $R\text{-Mod}$, where $M \in \text{Coprores}^n(U)$ and I is a set, we have $N \in \text{Coprores}^n(U)$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, U) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(U^I, U)$ is a canonical monomorphism;
- 3) ${}_R U$ is co-selfsmall and for any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ where $L, M \in \text{Coprores}^n({}_R U)$, we have $N \in \text{Coprores}^n({}_R U)$ if and only if $0 \rightarrow \Delta(N) \rightarrow \Delta(M) \rightarrow \Delta(L) \rightarrow 0$ is exact;
- 4) ${}_R U$ induces a duality $\Delta_{U_S}: {}^\perp U_S \Leftrightarrow \text{Coprores}^n({}_R U): \Delta_{U'}$, where ${}^\perp U_S = \{N \mid \text{Ext}_S^i(N, U) = 0 \text{ for any } i \geq 1\}$.

2 Co- $*^\infty$ -Modules

Motivated by the idea of $*^\infty$ -modules^[14] and the $\text{co-} *^n$ -modules^[12], a more general setting is considered in this paper. First we introduce the following notion.

Definition 1 An R -module U is said to be ∞ -quasi-injective if for any $M \in \text{Coprores}^\infty(U)$ and any infinite U -copresentation of $M: 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow U^{I_1} \rightarrow U^{I_2} \rightarrow \dots$, the induced sequence $\Delta(U^{I_1}) \rightarrow \Delta(M) \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

Lemma 3 The following are equivalent for an R -module U :

- 1) U is ∞ -quasi-injective;
- 2) For any infinite exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow U^{I_1} \rightarrow U^{I_2} \rightarrow \dots$, the induced sequence $\Delta(U^{I_1}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Delta(U^{I_n}) \rightarrow \Delta(M) \rightarrow 0$ is exact, where $n \geq 1$;
- 3) Any infinite exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow U^{I_1} \rightarrow U^{I_2} \rightarrow \dots$ is also exact after the functor Δ .

Proof 3) \Rightarrow 2) \Rightarrow 1) are clear.

1) \Rightarrow 3) For any $i \geq 1$, let $K_i = \text{Ker}(U^{I_i} \rightarrow U^{I_{i+1}})$. It is easy to see that $0 \rightarrow K_i \rightarrow U^{I_i} \rightarrow U^{I_{i+1}} \rightarrow \dots$ be an infinite U -copresentation of K_i . Hence we obtain that $\Delta(U^{I_i}) \rightarrow \Delta(K_i) \rightarrow 0$ is also exact. Then it follows that the induced infinite sequence $\dots \rightarrow \Delta(U^{I_2}) \rightarrow \Delta(U^{I_1}) \rightarrow \Delta(M) \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

Now we can give the definition of $\text{co-} *^\infty$ -modules.

Definition 2 An R -module U is said to be $\text{co-} *^\infty$ -module if U is co-selfsmall and ∞ -quasi-injective.

From definition 2 we clearly see that all the co-selfsmall injective (or more generally, n -quasi-injective) modules are $\text{co-} *^\infty$ -modules. In particular, all the $\text{co-} *^n$ -modules are $\text{co-} *^\infty$ -modules but the converse fails clearly. In fact, we have the following results.

Proposition 1 $U \in R\text{-Mod}$ is a $\text{co-} *^n$ -module if and only if U is a $\text{co-} *^\infty$ -module and $\text{Coprores}^n(U) = \text{Coprores}^\infty(U)$.

Proof The necessity is obvious. Conversely, it suffices to show that U is $(n+1)$ -quasi-injective. Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow U^I \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be exact with $N \in \text{Coprores}^n(U)$, where I is a set. By hypothesis, $M \in \text{Coprores}^\infty(U)$, and U is ∞ -quasi-injective. Hence, we have $\Delta(U^I) \rightarrow \Delta(M) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, U is $(n+1)$ -quasi-injective and U is a $\text{co-} *^n$ -module.

Proposition 2 Let U be a $\text{co-} *^\infty$ -module. Then

1) δ_M is an isomorphism for any $M \in \text{Coprores}^\infty(U)$;

2) $\Delta(\text{Coprores}^\infty(U)) = {}^\perp U_S = \{N_S \mid \text{Ext}_S^i(N, U) = 0 \text{ for any } i \geq 1\}$, where $S = \text{End}({}_R U)$.

Proof 1) For any $M \in \text{Coprores}^\infty(U)$, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow U^I \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ with $N \in \text{Coprores}^\infty(U)$, where I is a set. By hypothesis, U is ∞ -quasi-injective. Hence, the induced sequence is exact. It is easy to see that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Delta^2(M) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^2(U^I) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^2(N) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_S^1(\Delta(M), U) \\ & & \delta_M \uparrow & & \delta_{U^I} \uparrow & & \delta_N \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & U^I & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

By lemma 1, δ_N is a monomorphism. Since δ_{U^I} is a natural isomorphism, δ_M is an isomorphism.

2) For any $M \in \text{Coprores}^\infty(U)$, we consider again the above exact commutative diagram. Notice that $N \in \text{Coprores}^\infty(U)$, so δ_N is also an isomorphism by 1). It follows that $\text{Ext}_S^i(\Delta(M), U) = 0$. Applying the same arguments to N , we also have $\text{Ext}_S^i(\Delta(N), U) = 0$. Now we derive that $\text{Ext}_S^i(\Delta(M), U) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ from the fact that $\text{Ext}_S^i(\Delta(N), U) \cong \text{Ext}_S^{i+1}(\Delta(M), U)$ for any $i \geq 1$. Hence, we have $\Delta_{U'}(\text{Coprores}^\infty(U)) \subseteq {}^\perp U_S$.

On the other hand, for any $M \in {}^\perp U_S$, we have $\Delta(M) \in \text{Coprores}^\infty(U)$ by lemma 2. Take the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow S^{(I)} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where I is a set. Then $L \in {}^\perp U$ and $\Delta(L) \in \text{Coprores}^\infty(U)$. Clearly, the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow \Delta(M) \rightarrow \Delta(S^{(I)}) (\cong U^I) \rightarrow \Delta(L) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Since U is a $\text{co-} *^\infty$ -module, we have the following induced commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & S^{(I)} & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \delta_L & & \downarrow \delta_{S^{(I)}} & & \downarrow \delta_M \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Delta^2(L) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^2(S^{(I)}) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^2(M) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Notice that $\delta_{S^{(I)}}$ is a natural isomorphism, so δ_M is an epimorphism. Similarly, δ_L is also an epimorphism. It follows that δ_M is an isomorphism. Therefore, $M \cong \Delta^2(M) = \Delta(\Delta(M)) \in \Delta(\text{Coprores}^\infty(U))$. This shows that ${}^\perp U_S \subseteq \Delta_{U'}(\text{Coprores}^\infty(U))$. Hence we obtain the conclusion.

Lemma 4 Let U be a $\text{co-} *^\infty$ -module. Then $\Delta_{U'}$ is an exact functor in $\text{Coprores}^\infty(U)$.

Proof Considering any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{Coprores}^\infty(U)$, we have an induced exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Delta(N) \rightarrow \Delta(M) \rightarrow \Delta(L) \rightarrow X_S \rightarrow 0$, where $X_S = \text{Im}(\Delta(L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(N, U))$. Then we obtain two short exact sequences: $0 \rightarrow \Delta(N) \rightarrow \Delta(M) \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \Delta(L) \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ with $Y = \text{Im}(\Delta(M) \rightarrow \Delta(L))$. Applying the functor Δ_{U_S} to the first sequence, we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_M & & \downarrow \delta_N \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Delta(Y) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^2(M) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^2(N) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_S^1(Y, U) \end{array}$$

Since U is a $\text{co-} *^\infty$ -module and $M, N \in \text{Coprores}^\infty(U)$,

we have that δ_M and δ_N are isomorphisms and that $\text{Ext}_S^i(\Delta(M), U) = 0 = \text{Ext}_S^i(\Delta(N), U)$ for any $i \geq 1$ by proposition 2. Hence we obtain that $\text{Ext}_S^i(Y, U) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and that $L \cong \Delta(Y)$. Thus $Y \in {}^\perp U_S = \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Copres}^\infty(U))$, also by proposition 2. Put $Y = \Delta D$ for some $D \in \text{Copres}^\infty(U)$. Then we obtain

$$Y = \Delta(D) \cong \Delta(\Delta^2(D)) \cong \Delta^2(\Delta(D)) = \Delta^2 Y$$

It follows that

$$X_S = \text{Coker}(Y \rightarrow \Delta(L)) \cong \text{Coker}(\Delta^2 Y \rightarrow \Delta(\Delta(Y))) = 0$$

Hence $0 \rightarrow \Delta(N) \rightarrow \Delta(M) \rightarrow \Delta(L) \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

We are now in a position to give some characterizations of co- \ast -modules which are similar to the characterizations of co- \ast -modules.

Theorem 2 Let $U \in R\text{-Mod}$ and $S = \text{End}({}_R U)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) U is a co- \ast -module;
- 2) U is co-selfsmall and for any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow U^I \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ with $M \in \text{Copres}^\infty(U)$ and I is a set, we obtain that $N \in \text{Copres}^\infty(U)$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, U) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(U^I, U)$ is a monomorphism canonically;
- 3) U is co-selfsmall and for any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ with $L, N \in \text{Copres}^\infty(U)$, we obtain that $N \in \text{Copres}^\infty(U)$ if and only if the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow \Delta(N) \rightarrow \Delta(M) \rightarrow \Delta(L) \rightarrow 0$ is exact;
- 4) U induces a duality $\Delta_{U_S}: {}^\perp U_S \rightleftharpoons \text{Copres}^\infty(U): \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof 1) \Rightarrow 3) The necessity follows from lemma 4. We now prove the sufficiency. Assume that the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow \Delta(N) \rightarrow \Delta(M) \rightarrow \Delta(L) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Applying the functor Δ_{U_S} , we have an exact sequence $\text{Ext}_S^i(\Delta(M), U) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_S^i(\Delta(N), U) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_S^{i+1}(\Delta(L), U)$ for any $i \geq 1$. Since U is a co- \ast -module, $\text{Ext}_S^i(\Delta(M), U) = 0 = \text{Ext}_S^{i+1}(\Delta(L), U)$ for all $i \geq 1$ by proposition 2. Hence we obtain that $\text{Ext}_S^i(\Delta(N), U) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. It follows that $N \in \text{Copres}^\infty(U)$ by lemma 2.

3) \Rightarrow 2) is clear.

2) \Rightarrow 1) We only need to prove that U is ∞ -quasi-injective. For any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow U^I \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ with $N \in \text{Copres}^\infty(U)$ and I is a set, we obtain that $\Delta(U^I) \rightarrow \Delta(M) \rightarrow 0$ is exact by hypothesis 2).

1) \Rightarrow 4) By proposition 2 and lemma 2.

4) \Rightarrow 1) Since $S^{(n)} \in {}^\perp U_S$, $S^{(n)}$ is reflexive and we obtain that $(\text{Hom}_R(U, U))^{(n)} = S^{(n)} \cong \Delta^2(S^{(n)}) = \Delta(\Delta(S^{(n)})) \cong \Delta(U^I) = \text{Hom}_R(U^I, U)$.

Thus U is co-selfsmall. For any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow U^I \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ with $N \in \text{Copres}^\infty(U)$, we have the induced exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Delta(N) \rightarrow \Delta(U^I) \rightarrow \Delta(M) \rightarrow X_S \rightarrow 0$ where $X_S = \text{Im}(\Delta(M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(N, U))$. As in the proof of lemma 4, we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & U^I & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_{U^I} & & \downarrow \delta_N \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Delta(Y) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^2(U^I) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^2(N) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_S^1(Y, U) \end{array}$$

where $Y = \text{Im}(\Delta(U^I) \rightarrow \Delta(M))$. Then after the same procedure, we obtain that $X_S = 0$; i. e., U is ∞ -quasi-injective. Therefore, U is a co- \ast -module.

Corollary 1 Let U be a co- \ast -module with $S = \text{End}({}_R U)$ and $M \in \text{Mod-}S$. If $N \in \text{KerExt}_S^{i \geq 0}(-, U)$, then $N = 0$.

Proof By theorem 2, we obtain that $N \cong \Delta(\Delta(N)) = 0$.

Proposition 3 Let U be a co- \ast -module. Then $\text{Copres}^\infty(U)$ is closed under extensions if and only if $\text{Copres}^\infty(U) \subseteq {}^\perp U^{\perp 1} = \{ {}_R M \mid \text{Ext}_R^1(M, U) = 0 \}$.

Proof The necessity. For any $M \in \text{Copres}^\infty(U)$ and any extension of U by $M: 0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{f} N \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that $N \in \text{Copres}^\infty(U)$ by assumption. By theorem 2, the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow \Delta(M) \rightarrow \Delta(N) \rightarrow \Delta(U) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Therefore, there exists a morphism $g: N \rightarrow {}_R U$ such that $gf = 1_U$. This shows that $\text{Copres}^\infty(U) \subseteq {}^\perp U^{\perp 1}$.

The sufficiency. For any extension of L by $M: 0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where $L, M \in \text{Copres}^\infty(U)$. By assumption we obtain that the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow \Delta(M) \rightarrow \Delta(N) \rightarrow \Delta(L) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. According to proposition 2, both δ_L and δ_M are isomorphisms and $\Delta(L), \Delta(M) \in {}^\perp U$. Then we obtain that δ_N is an isomorphism and $\Delta(N) \in {}^\perp U$. Hence, $N \cong \Delta^2(N) = \Delta(\Delta(N)) \in \text{Copres}^\infty(U)$ by lemma 2, i. e., $\text{Copres}^\infty(U)$ is closed under extensions.

References

- [1] Fuller K R. Density and equivalence [J]. *J Algebra*, 1974, **29**(3): 528 – 550.
- [2] Angeleri Hgel L, Coelho F U. Infinitely generated tilting modules of finite projective dimension [J]. *Forum Math*, 2001, **13**(2): 239 – 250.
- [3] Bazzoni S. A characterization of n -cotilting and n -tilting modules [J]. *J Algebra*, 2004, **273**(1): 359 – 372.
- [4] Colpi R. Tilting modules and \ast -modules [J]. *Comm Algebra*, 1993, **21**(4): 1095 – 1102.
- [5] Miyashita Y. Tilting modules of finite projective dimension [J]. *Math Z*, 1986, **193**(1): 113 – 146.
- [6] Menini C, Orsatti A. Representable equivalences between categories of modules and applications [J]. *Rend Sem Mat Univ Padova*, 1989, **82**: 203 – 231.
- [7] Colpi R, Menini C. On the structure of \ast -modules [J]. *J Algebra*, 1993, **158**(2): 400 – 419.
- [8] Fuller K R. \ast -modules over ring extensions [J]. *Comm Algebra*, 1997, **29**(9): 2839 – 2860.
- [9] Trlifaj J. \ast -modules are finitely generated [J]. *J Algebra*, 1994, **169**(2): 392 – 398.
- [10] Wei J, Huang Z, Tong W, et al. Tilting modules of finite projective dimension and a generalization of \ast -modules [J]. *J Algebra*, 2003, **268**(2): 404 – 418.
- [11] Colby R R, Fuller K R. Costar modules [J]. *J Algebra*, 2001, **242**(1): 146 – 159.
- [12] Yao L L, Chen J L. Co- \ast -modules [J]. *Algebra Colloq*, 2010, **17**(3): 447 – 456.
- [13] Colby R R, Fuller K R. *Equivalence and duality for module categories* [M]. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [14] Wei J. Equivalences and the tilting theory [J]. *J Algebra*, 2005, **283**(2): 584 – 595.

余星 n 模的推广

姚玲玲 陈建龙

(东南大学数学系, 南京 211189)

摘要: 如果一个模余自小和无穷拟内射称其为余星无穷模. 研究了其性质及等价刻画. 当一个模为余星无穷模时, 函子 $\text{Hom}_{_R U}(-, U)$ 在 $\text{Copres}^\infty(U)$ 中正合. 一个模是余星无穷模当且仅当 U 余自小, 对任意的正合列 $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow U' \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ 满足 $M \in \text{Copres}^\infty(U)$ 且 I 是一个集合, $N \in \text{Copres}^\infty(U)$ 等价于 $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, U) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(U', U)$ 是一个单同态当且仅当 U 余自小并且对于任意的正合列 $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ 满足 $L, N \in \text{Copres}^\infty(U)$, $N \in \text{Copres}^\infty(U)$ 等价于导出的列 $0 \rightarrow \Delta(N) \rightarrow \Delta(M) \rightarrow \Delta(L) \rightarrow 0$ 是正合的当且仅当 U 通过函子 Δ_{U_S} 和 $\Delta_{_R U}$ 导出了子范畴 ${}^\perp U_S$ 和 $\text{Copres}^\infty(U)$ 之间的对偶. 并且证明了一个模为余星 n 模当且仅当它是余星无穷模且 $\text{Copres}^\infty(U) = \text{Copres}^n(U)$.

关键词: 余星无穷模; 无穷拟内射; 余自小; 余星 n 模

中图分类号: O154.2