

Construction of semisimple category over generalized Yetter-Drinfeld modules

Zhang Xiaohui Wang Shuanhong

(Department of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, China)

Abstract: Let H be a commutative, noetherian, semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode over a field k . Then the semisimplicity of $YD(H)$ is considered, where $YD(H)$ means the disjoint union of the category of generalized Yetter-Drinfeld modules ${}_HYD^H(\alpha, \beta)$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$. First, the fact that $YD(H)$ is closed under Mor is proved. Secondly, based on the properties of finitely generated projective modules and semisimplicity of H , $YD(H)$ satisfies the exact condition. Thus each object in $YD(H)$ can be decomposed into simple ones since H is noetherian and cosemisimple. Finally, it is proved that $YD(H)$ is a semisimple category.

Key words: semisimple Hopf algebra; semisimple category; generalized Yetter-Drinfeld module

doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1003-7985.2013.04.021

In 2007, Panaite and Staic^[1] introduced the notion of generalized Yetter-Drinfeld modules which covered both Yetter-Drinfeld modules and anti-Yetter-Drinfeld modules. Liu and Wang^[2] studied the notion of generalized weak Yetter-Drinfeld modules and made the category of ${}_HYD^H(\alpha, \beta)$ into a braided T-category^[3]. The fusion category^[4-6] plays an important role in classifying the semisimple Hopf algebra. The semisimple category is the first step to construct a fusion category. In this paper, we discuss the following question: how to make the category of generalized Yetter-Drinfeld modules ${}_HYD^H(\alpha, \beta)$ into a semisimple category.

Throughout this paper, we assume that H is a Hopf algebra^[7-8] with a bijective antipode over a field k . Denote the set of all the automorphisms of H by $\text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$.

1 Preliminaries

Definition 1 For any $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$, a (α, β) -

Received 2012-10-10.

Biographies: Zhang Xiaohui (1985—), male, graduate; Wang Shuanhong (corresponding author), male, doctor, professor, shuanhwang2002@yahoo.com.

Foundation items: The National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11371088), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 3207013906), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (No. BK2012736).

Citation: Zhang Xiaohui, Wang Shuanhong. Construction of semisimple category over generalized Yetter-Drinfeld modules[J]. Journal of Southeast University (English Edition), 2013, 29(4): 467 – 469. [doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1003-7985.2013.04.021]

Yetter-Drinfeld module is a k -module M , such that M is a left H -module (with notation $h \otimes m \mapsto h \cdot m$) and a right H -comodule (with notation $m \mapsto m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}$) with the following compatibility condition:

$$\rho(h \cdot m) = h_2 \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes \beta(h_3) m_{(1)} \alpha(S^{-1}(h_1))$$

for all $h \in H$ and $m \in M$. The category of (α, β) -Yetter-Drinfeld modules and H -linear H -colinear maps is denoted by ${}_HYD^H(\alpha, \beta)$.

Define the category of the generalized Yetter-Drinfeld module $YD(H)$ as the disjoint union of all ${}_HYD^H(\alpha, \beta)$.

Definition 2 Suppose that $M \in YD(H)$, then M is called simple if it has no proper subobjects. A direct sum of simple objects is called semisimple. If every object $M \in YD(H)$ is semisimple, we call the category $YD(H)$ semisimple.

2 Making $YD(H)$ into semisimple

Lemma 1^[1] Suppose that $M \in {}_HYD^H(\alpha, \beta)$ and $N \in {}_HYD^H(\gamma, \delta)$, then $M \otimes N \in {}_HYD^H(\alpha\gamma, \delta\gamma^{-1}\beta\gamma)$ with the following structures

$$h \cdot (m \otimes n) = \gamma(h_1) \cdot m \otimes \gamma^{-1}\beta\gamma(h_2) \cdot n$$

$$m \otimes n \mapsto (m \otimes n)_{(0)} \otimes (m \otimes n)_{(1)} = (m_{(0)} \otimes n_{(0)}) \otimes n_{(1)} m_{(1)}$$

Lemma 2 If k is a commutative ring, H is a commutative Hopf algebra over k , $M \in {}_HYD^H(\alpha, \beta)$, $N \in {}_HYD^H(\gamma, \delta)$, and M is a finitely generated projective H -module, then

1) ${}_H\text{hom}(M, N)$ is an H -comodule, and ${}_H\text{hom}^H(M, N) = {}_H\text{hom}(M, N)^{\text{co}H}$, where the H -coaction is given by $\rho(f)(m) = f_0(m) \otimes f_1 \triangle f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1)$.

2) ${}_H\text{hom}(M, N) \in {}_HYD^H(\alpha, \beta)$, where the H -action is given by $(h \cdot f)(m) \triangle hf(m) = f(h \cdot m)$.

Proof 1) Define a map $\pi: {}_H\text{hom}(M, N) \rightarrow {}_H\text{hom}(M, N \otimes H)$ by $\pi(f)(m) = f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1)$.

For any $m \in M$, $h \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(f)(h \cdot m) &= f((h \cdot m)_0)_0 \otimes f((h \cdot m)_0)_1 S((h \cdot m)_1) = \\ &= f(h_2 \cdot m_0)_0 \otimes f(h_2 \cdot m_0)_1 S(\beta(h_3) m_1 \alpha(S^{-1}(h_1))) = \\ &= h \cdot f(m_0)_0 \otimes \beta(h_3) \beta(S(h_4)) f(m_0)_1 \alpha(S^{-1}(h_2)) \cdot \\ &\quad \alpha(h_1) S(m_1) = h \cdot f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1) = \\ &= h \cdot (\pi(f))(m) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, π is well defined. Since M is a finitely generated projective H -module, we have ${}_H\text{hom}(M, N \otimes H) \cong {}_H\text{hom}(M, N) \otimes H$. So we obtain a map:

$$\rho: {}_H\text{hom}(M, N) \rightarrow {}_H\text{hom}(M, N) \otimes H$$

such that $\rho(f)(m) = f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1)$, and ${}_H\text{hom}(M, N) \in M^H$.

Now for any $f \in {}_H\text{hom}(M, N)$, if f is H -colinear, then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(f)(m) &= f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1) = \\ f(m_0)_0 \otimes m_1 S(m_2) &= f(m) \otimes 1 = (f \otimes 1)(m) \end{aligned}$$

So f is coinvariant. Conversely, take $f \in {}_H\text{hom}(M, N)^{\text{co}H}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_N(f(m)) &= f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 \varepsilon(m_1) = \\ f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1) m_2 &= f(m_0) \otimes m_1 \end{aligned}$$

for any $m \in M$, and f is H -linear. Thus, ${}_H\text{hom}^H(M, N) = {}_H\text{hom}(M, N)^{\text{co}H}$.

2) For any $m \in M$, $h \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((h \cdot f)_0 \otimes (h \cdot f)_1)(m) &= (h \cdot f(m_0))_0 \otimes \\ (h \cdot f(m_0))_1 S(m_1) &= h_2 \cdot f(m_0)_0 \otimes \\ \beta(h_3) f(m_0)_1 \alpha(S^{-1}(h_1)) S(m_1) &= \\ (h_2 \cdot f_0 \otimes \beta(h_3) f_1 \alpha(S^{-1}(h_1)))(m) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3 Let V be a k -module and N be an H -module, then

1) ${}_H\text{hom}(H \otimes V, N)$ and $\text{hom}(V, N)$ are isomorphic as k -modules, where the bijection is given by $\theta: {}_H\text{hom}(H \otimes V, N) \rightarrow \text{hom}(V, N)$, $\theta(f)(v) = f(1 \otimes v)$.

2) If V is a projective k -module, then $H \otimes V$ is a projective H -module.

Furthermore, if $V \in M^H$, then $H \otimes V$ is an object of ${}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ via

$$h \cdot (h' \otimes v) = hh' \otimes v$$

$$\rho(h \otimes v) = h_2 \otimes v_0 \otimes \beta(h_3) v_1 \alpha(S^{-1}(h_1))$$

Similar to Lemma 2, we can obtain the following lemmas.

Lemma 4 Let $V \in M^H$ is a finitely generated projective k -module. Then for any H -comodule N , we have $\text{hom}(V, N) \in M^H$, where the H -coaction is given by $\rho(g)(v) = g(v_0)_0 \otimes g(v_0)_1 S(v_1)$. If H is commutative, then for any $N \in {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$, we can get ${}_H\text{hom}(H \otimes V, N) \in {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$.

Lemma 5 Suppose that H is commutative, and $N \in {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$.

1) If $V \in M^H$ is a finitely generated projective k -module, then ${}_H\text{hom}(H \otimes V, N)$ and $\text{hom}(V, N)$ are isomorphic as H -comodules.

2) If k is a field, V is a finite-dimensional k -space and a projective right H -comodule, then $H \otimes V$ is a projective object in ${}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof 1) It is straightforward.

2) Obviously, we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}_H\text{hom}^H(H \otimes V, N) &\cong {}_H\text{hom}(H \otimes V, N)^{\text{co}H} \cong \\ \text{hom}(V, N)^{\text{co}H} &\cong \text{hom}^H(V, N) \end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism is due to the proof of Lemma 2. So the conclusion holds. From the above two lemmas, we have the following facts.

Lemma 6 Let k be a field, and $M \in {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$. Then M is a finitely generated H -module if and only if there exists a finite dimensional H -comodule V and an H -linear H -colinear epimorphism $\pi: H \otimes V \rightarrow M$.

Let H^* be the linear dual of H . If $M, N \in M^H$, then $\text{hom}_k(M, N) \in {}_{H^*}M$ under the following H^* -action

$$(h^* \cdot f)(m) = h^*(f(m_0)_1 S(m_1)) \cdot f(m_0)_0$$

Lemma 7 Assume that H is commutative, and $M, N \in {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$. Then ${}_H\text{hom}(M, N)$ is a left H^* -submodule of $\text{hom}_k(M, N)$.

Furthermore, $M \in {}_{H^*}M$ is called rational if the left H^* -action on M is induced by a right H -coaction on M .

Proposition 1 Suppose that H is commutative, k is a field, $M, N \in {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$, and M is a finitely generated H -module. Then ${}_H\text{hom}(M, N) \in {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof By Lemma 6, there exists a finite dimensional H -comodule V and an H -linear H -colinear epimorphism $\pi: H \otimes V \rightarrow M$. So we obtain an injective k -linear map ${}_H\text{hom}(\pi, N): {}_H\text{hom}(M, N) \rightarrow {}_H\text{hom}(H \otimes V, N)$. For any $\phi \in H^*$, $v \in V$, $h \in H$, $f \in {}_H\text{hom}(M, N)$, we have $\pi(h \otimes v) = h \cdot v$, $\rho(1 \otimes v) = 1 \otimes v_0 \otimes v_1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} ((\phi \cdot f) \circ \pi)(1 \otimes v) &= (\phi \cdot f)(v) = \\ \phi(f(v_0)_1 S(v_1)) f(v_0)_0 &= \\ \phi(f(\pi(1 \otimes v_0))_1 S(v_1)) f(\pi(1 \otimes v_0))_0 &= \\ \phi(f(\pi(1 \otimes v)_0)_1 S(1 \otimes v)_1) f(\pi(1 \otimes v)_0)_0 &= \\ (\phi \cdot (f \circ \pi))(1 \otimes v) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that ${}_H\text{hom}(\pi, N)$ is H^* -linear. Then by Lemma 2, ${}_H\text{hom}(H \otimes V, N)$ is an H -comodule, and, therefore, a rational H^* -module. Thus ${}_H\text{hom}(M, N)$ is a rational H^* -submodule of ${}_H\text{hom}(H \otimes V, N)$. This means that ${}_H\text{hom}(M, N)$ is an H -comodule. Then we obtain ${}_H\text{hom}(M, N) \in {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ by Lemma 2.

We say that ${}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ satisfies the exact condition if the following property holds: if $M \in {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ is a finitely generated H -module, then the functor ${}_H\text{hom}(M, -): {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ is exact.

By Proposition 1, if H is commutative and M is a finitely generated H -module, we have ${}_H\text{hom}(M, N) \in {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ for any $N \in {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$. Obviously ${}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ satisfies the exact condition if H is semisimple.

Proposition 2 Assume that H is commutative, and ${}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ satisfies the exact condition and the functor $(-)^{\text{co}H}: {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow {}_kM$ is exact. Then any finitely generated H -module $M \in {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ is a projective object.

Proof we have ${}_H\text{hom}^H(M, -) \cong {}_H\text{hom}(M, -)^{\text{co}H} = (-)^{\text{co}H} \circ {}_H\text{hom}(M, -)$ which implies that ${}_H\text{hom}^H(M, -)$ is also an exact functor.

Proposition 3 Under the same condition of Proposition 2, suppose that H is noetherian. Then any finitely generated H -module $M \in {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ is a direct sum of a family of simple subobjects which are also finitely generated as H -modules in ${}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof Assume that N is a subobject of M . Then N and M/N are finitely generated H -modules since H is noetherian. Furthermore, N and M/N are projective objects. So we have a split exact sequence in ${}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$: $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$.

Thus the conclusion holds.

Take $M \in {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ and an H -subcomodule V of M . We set

$$HV = \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} a_i v_i \mid a_i \in H, v_i \in V \right\}$$

where I is a finite set. Then HV is a subobject of M in ${}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ via:

$$h \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in I} a_i v_i \right) = \sum_{i \in I} ha_i v_i$$

$$\rho \left(\sum_{i \in I} a_i v_i \right) = \sum_{i \in I} (a_i)_2 (v_i)_0 \otimes \beta((a_i)_3)(v_i)_1 \alpha(S^{-1}(a_i)_1)$$

Theorem 1 Let H be commutative and noetherian, ${}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ satisfies the exact condition and the functor $(-)^{\text{co}H}: {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow {}_kM$ is exact. Then every $M \in {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ is a direct sum of a family of simple subobjects of M which are finitely generated as H -modules in ${}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$. Therefore, ${}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ is a semisimple category.

Proof For any $m \in M$, m belongs to a finite dimensional H -subcomodule V_m of M . Then V_m is a finitely generated H -module. By Proposition 3, V_m is a direct sum of a family of simple subobjects which are finitely generated. Let Ω be the set of all direct sums $N = \bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i$ where every N_i is both a finitely generated H -module and a simple subobject of M in ${}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$. Then the sum of two elements in Ω is also an object in Ω . Thus Ω contains a maximal element M' through Zorn's Lemma. For any m

$\in M$, we have $m \in HV_m \in \Omega$. This means that $HV_m + M' = M'$. So $M = M'$. Thus, the conclusion holds.

Corollary 1 Let H be commutative and noetherian (particularly finite dimensional), semisimple and cosemisimple. Then each $M \in {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ is a direct sum of a family of simple subobjects of M which are finitely generated as H -modules in ${}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$. Hence ${}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ is a semisimple category.

Proof Since H is cosemisimple, the functor $(-)^{\text{co}H}: M^H \rightarrow {}_kM$ is exact. Thus $(-)^{\text{co}H}: {}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow {}_kM$ is exact. Furthermore, the semisimplicity implies that ${}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ satisfies the exact condition. Then by Theorem 1, the conclusion holds.

Theorem 2 As the disjoint union of all ${}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$, the category of the generalized Yetter-Drinfeld modules $\text{YD}(H)$ is also semisimple.

References

- [1] Panaite F, Staic M D. Generalized (anti) Yetter-Drinfeld modules as components of a braided T-category [J]. *Israel J Math*, 2007, **158**(1): 349–365.
- [2] Liu L, Wang S H. Constructing new braided T-categories over weak Hopf algebras [J]. *Appl Categor Struct*, 2010, **18**(4): 431–459.
- [3] Turaev V. *Homotopy quantum field theory* [M]. Bloomington: European Mathematical Society, 2010.
- [4] Etingof P, Nikshych D, Ostrik V. On fusion categories [J]. *Annals of Mathematics*, 2005, **162**(2): 581–642.
- [5] Drinfeld V, Gelaki S, Nikshych D, Ostrik V. On braided fusion categories I [J]. *Selecta Mathematica*, 2010, **16**(1): 1–119.
- [6] Naidu D, Rowell E. A finiteness property for braided fusion categories [J]. *Algebr Represent Theor*, 2011, **14**(5): 837–855.
- [7] Sweedler M. *Hopf algebras* [M]. New York: Benjamin, 1969.
- [8] Montgomery S. *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings* [M]. Rhode Island: American Mathematical Society, 1993.

广义 Yetter-Drinfeld 模上半单范畴的构造

张晓辉 王栓宏

(东南大学数学系, 南京 211189)

摘要: 设 H 是域 k 上的可换、诺特、半单、余半单的 Hopf 代数, 且具有双射对极. 考虑了其上 $\text{YD}(H)$ 范畴的半单性, 其中 $\text{YD}(H)$ 是 H 上的广义 Yetter-Drinfeld 模范畴 ${}_H\text{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ (其中 $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$) 的无交并. 首先证明了 $\text{YD}(H)$ 是一个对态射集封闭的范畴; 然后利用有限生成投射模的性质和 H 的半单性, 可得 $\text{YD}(H)$ 是满足正合性条件的; 进而由 H 是诺特、余半单的 Hopf 代数, 得到 $\text{YD}(H)$ 中的对象都可分解为单对象的直和. 最终得到 $\text{YD}(H)$ 是一个半单范畴.

关键词: 半单 Hopf 代数; 半单范畴; 广义 Yetter-Drinfeld 模

中图分类号: O153.3